## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN FLINT DIVISION

Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti, Individually and as the Personal Representative for the Estate of Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis,

Plaintiff,

v.

Takata Corporation, TK Holdings Inc., Honda Motor Co., Ltd., Honda R & D Co., Ltd., American Honda Motor Co., Inc., and Honda of America Mfg., Inc., Case No. 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP

# WRONGFUL DEATH/ SURVIVAL PRODUCTS LIABILITY ACTION

AMENDED COMPLAINT

# JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants.

# **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES**

COMES NOW PLAINTIFF, Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti (hereinafter the "Plaintiff" and/or "Dr. Shamshir"), Individually and as the Personal Representative for the Estate of Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis (hereinafter "Dr. Nida," and/or the "Plaintiff's Decedent"), by and through the undersigned Counsel of Record and pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to file this Complaint for damages against the above named Defendants named above, Takata Corporation, TK Holdings Inc., Honda Motor Co., Ltd., Honda R & D Co., Ltd., American Honda Motor Co., Inc., and Honda of America Mfg., Inc. (hereinafter collectively the "Defendants" or the "Defendant manufacturers"), to show the Court as follows:

# **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is a civil action arising from the death of Plaintiff's Decedent, Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis, age 29, on April 16, 2016, following an automobile accident which occurred on the road between Kenigau and Kota Kinabalu, in the West Coast Division of Malaysia's Sabah state, when

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 2 of 62 Pg ID 81

a defective metal airbag inflator, manufactured by Takata and subsequently installed by Honda, internally ruptured, exploded with overly excessive force, and expelled sharp metal shrapnel into the passenger compartment of a 2006 Honda City Car.

2. The Plaintiff, Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti, brings this action individually and in his representative capacity for the damages sustained by his wife Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis prior to her death, including but not limited to pain, suffering, mental anguish, and anticipation of death, and also for the wrongful death damages, and for punitive damages as well.

3. This products liability action includes claims for general negligence, gross negligence, reckless conduct, and breach of warranty.

4. This negligence action includes claims for general negligence, gross negligence, and reckless conduct, and breach of warranty.

5. The claims asserted herein arise out of the design, selection, inspection, manufacture, assembly, testing, equipping, marketing, distribution, inspection, maintenance, and sale of an uncrashworthy, defective, and unreasonably dangerous automobile and automobile airbag system.

#### THE INCIDENT

6. On April 16, 2016, Dr. Nida was driving with her husband, Dr. Shamshir, and their one year old child from Kenigau to Kota Kinabalu in a 2006 Honda City Car, Chassis Number PMHGD86706D10G653 and Registration Number BJH5980 (hereinafter the "Subject Vehicle" at issue in this complaint), when she became involved in a foreseeable crash in which the Vehicle left the roadway and struck a ditch and telephone pole (hereinafter the "Incident").

7. At the time the Incident, Dr. Nida was unimpaired, she was properly wearing her seatbelt, and she was driving the Subject Vehicle within the posted speed limits. At the time of the accident, Dr. Nida was accompanied by her husband Dr. Shamshir as well as their one year old child.

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 3 of 62 Pg ID 82

8. As a result of the Incident, the Subject Vehicle's frontal driver airbag inflator exploded internally with excessive force, which caused the metal inflator canister to rupture and expel sharp metal and plastic shrapnel towards Dr. Nida.



9. Dr. Nida was struck in the head by a single fragment of metal, nearly 2.6 centimeters (slightly larger than 1 inch) in diameter and 2 centimeters in length.

10. This fragment of metal passed through her jaw, fractured her alveolar bones, dislocated many of her teeth, fractured her palate, nasal cavity, and cranial vault before lodging into the base of her skull.

11. Although her husband, Dr. Shamshir, was in the car and attempted to render aid, Dr. Nida was pronounced dead on the scene due to the injuries sustained as a result of the ruptured airbag inflator.

12. The Incident was a foreseeable collision event arising out of ordinary use of the Subject Vehicle, and upon information and belief, at the time of the Incident, the Subject Vehicle and the component sub-assemblies were in the same essential condition as they were at the time they left the Defendant Manufacturers' control.

13. Also, prior to the date of the Incident, the Subject Vehicle had not been recalled as a result of defects in the Subject Vehicle's driver's frontal airbag system, which existed at the time of the

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 4 of 62 Pg ID 83

Incident and about which the Decedent was unaware before April 16, 2016, or the date on which the Incident occurred.

14. Accordingly, as a result of the defective and unreasonably dangerous condition of the Subject Vehicle at the time of the Incident, on April 16, 2016, Dr. Nida suffered the severe and permanent injuries for which Plaintiff now brings suit.

### **PARTIES**

15. Dr. Nida Fatin Mat Asis is survived by her husband Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti and their minor son, A.A.

16. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti is and was a citizen and resident of Malaysia, living at No. 14 Jalan Kosas 1/7 Taman Kosas, 68000 Ampang, Selangor, and the husband of Dr. Nida Fatin Mat Asis.

17. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff's Decedent was a citizen and resident of Malaysia.

18. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff is and was the lawful purchaser of the Subject Vehicle at issue in this Complaint, Chassis Number PMHGD86706D10G653, Registration Number BJH5980.

19. At all times relevant, Plaintiff's Decedent, Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis, died in the Incident on the 16th day of April 2016, and pursuant to Malaysian law and the laws of the State of Michigan, Plaintiff Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti has been appointed as her rightful Personal Representative and Heir.

20. Accordingly, at all times relevant, Plaintiff Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti is bringing this action as the duly appointed Personal Representative for the Estate of Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis.

21. At all times relevant herein, Defendant Takata Corporation ("Takata") is and was a foreign

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 5 of 62 Pg ID 84

for-profit corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan with its principal place of business at ARK Hills South Tower 4-5 Roppongi 1-Chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo, 106-8488, Japan. Takata is a specialized supplier of automotive safety systems, that designs, manufactures, assembles, tests, markets, distributes, and sells vehicle restraint systems to various Original Equipment Manufacturers ("OEM's"), including Honda, in the United States and abroad, including specifically the airbag incorporated and used by Honda in its airbag safety system in the subject Vehicle. Takata is a vertically-integrated company and manufactures component parts in its own facilities, and then distributes same.

22. At all times relevant herein, Defendant TK Holdings Inc. ("TK Holdings") is a Delaware corporation and subsidiary and/or operational unit of Takata, headquartered in Auburn Hills, Michigan 48326. TK Holdings is in the business of designing, manufacturing, assembling, testing, promoting, advertising, distributing, and selling vehicle restraint systems to various OEM's, including Honda, including the airbag incorporated and used by Honda in its airbag safety system in the subject Vehicle. Additionally, TK Holdings has also been identified in various materials as manufacturing the "inflators" in the frontal airbag systems that are rupturing or exploding with unreasonably dangerous, excessive concussive force and which in many instances have injured vehicle occupants with shrapnel or concussive impacts, as well as the "propellant" or explosive charge used within the inflator itself. TK Holdings also is involved in the distribution of such airbag systems to OEM's, including Honda. Moreover, to the extent the United States Department of Transportation ("DOT") by and through the Secretary of Transportation has delegated authority to the Chief Counsel of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (hereinafter "NHTSA") by a "Special Order" dated October 30, 2014, to investigate this safety issue, it is TK Holdings that has been ordered to provide responses to "demands [for] certain information and documents"

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 6 of 62 Pg ID 85

provided and "signed under oath" no later than "December 1, 2014," as to its newly initiated "PE14-016 Air Bag Inflator Rupture" investigation.<sup>1</sup>

23. Defendants Takata and TK Holdings are hereinafter collectively referred to as "Takata" or "Takata Defendants." Takata is the manufacturer of the airbag in the subject Vehicle, which was involved in the Incident which forms the subject matter of this litigation.

24. At all times relevant herein, Defendant Honda Motor Co., Ltd. ("Honda Motor") is and was a foreign for-profit corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan with its principal place of business at 2-1-1, Minami-Aoyama, Minato-ku, Tokyo 107-8556, Japan. Honda Motor manufactures and sells motorcycles, automobiles, and power products through its related subsidiaries and/or operating units, including but not limited to Honda R & D Co., Ltd., American Honda Motor Co., Inc., and Honda of America, Mfg., Inc., independent retail dealers, outlets, and authorized dealerships primarily in Japan, North America, Europe, and Asia, including the subject Vehicle. Honda Motor vehicle safety issues arising from the defective and unreasonably dangerous condition of certain Honda brand vehicles it designs, manufactures and distributes for sale to the consuming public, including the subject Vehicle. Honda Motor has actively been involved in the developing knowledge of this motor vehicle safety issue by Honda entities over the last decade, and the actions and/or inactions of same relating to this public safety hazard.

25. At all times relevant herein, Defendant Honda R & D Co., Ltd. ("Honda R&D") is and was a foreign for-profit corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan with its principal place at Wako Research Center, 1-4-1 Chuo, Wako 351-0-113, Japan. Honda R&D

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, NHTSA Special Order Directed to TK Holdings Inc., dated October 30, 2014.

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 7 of 62 Pg ID 86

is a subsidiary of Honda Motor, works in conjunction with American Honda Motor Co., Inc., and Honda of America, Mfg., Inc., is responsible for the research, design and development of certain aspects of Honda brand vehicles, including testing and developing safety technologies for same, and was responsible for the design, development, manufacture, assembly, testing, distribution and sale of Honda brand vehicles utilizing Takata airbags primarily in Japan, North America, Europe, and Asia, including the subject Vehicle. Honda R&D has been involved in the safety investigation and determinations made as to the motor vehicle safety issues arising from the defective and unreasonably dangerous condition of certain Honda brand vehicles it designs, manufactures and distributes for sale to the consuming public, including the subject Vehicle. Honda R&D has actively been involved in the developing knowledge of this motor vehicle safety issue by Honda entities over the last decade, and the actions and/or inactions of same relating to this public safety hazard.

26. At all times relevant herein, Defendant American Honda Motor Co., Inc. ("American Honda") is and was a California corporation and a subsidiary of Honda Motor, headquartered in Torrance, California with its principal place of business at 1919 Torrance Blvd. Torrance, California 90501. American Honda designs, manufactures, assembles, tests, markets, promotes, advertises, distributes and sells Honda Motor and/or Honda brand cars, trucks, and sport utility vehicles. American Honda has been identified by HMC as the "Manufacturer's Agent" Highway Administration in its National Traffic Safety (hereinafter "NHTSA") communications related to this motor vehicle safety issue involving exploding, unreasonably dangerous Takata airbags in Honda brand vehicles and has been directly involved in the safety investigation and determinations made as to the motor vehicle safety issues arising from the defective and unreasonably dangerous condition of certain Honda brand vehicles. Moreover,

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 8 of 62 Pg ID 87

American Honda has actively been involved in the developing knowledge of this motor vehicle safety issue by Honda entities over the last decade, and the actions and/or inactions of same relating to this public safety hazard. Finally, to the extent the United States DOT by and through the Secretary of Transportation has delegated authority to the Chief Counsel of NHTSA by a "Special Order" dated November 5, 2014, to investigate this safety issue, it is AHM who has been ordered to provide responses to "demands [for] certain information and documents" provided and "signed under oath" no later than "**December 15, 2014**," as to its newly initiated "PE14-016 Air Bag Inflator Rupture" investigation.<sup>2</sup>

27. At all times relevant herein, Defendant Honda of America, Mfg., Inc. (Honda Mfg.) is and was an Ohio corporation and subsidiary of a subsidiary of Honda Motor, headquartered in Marysville, Ohio with its principal place of business at 24000 Honda Pkwy, Marysville, Ohio 43040. Honda Mfg. designs, manufactures, assembles, tests, markets, promotes, advertises, distributes and sells Honda Motor and/or Honda brand cars, trucks, and sport utility vehicles in the United States, including the subject Vehicle. Honda Mfg. has been directly involved in the safety investigation and determinations made as to the motor vehicle safety issues arising from the defective and unreasonably dangerous condition of certain Honda brand vehicles it makes, including the subject Vehicle. Moreover, Honda Mfg. has actively been involved in the developing knowledge of this motor vehicle safety issue by Honda entities over the last decade, and the actions and/or inactions of same relating to this public safety hazard.

28. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. are collectively referred to as "Honda" or "Honda Defendants." Honda vehicles sold in the Malaysia contain airbags manufactured by the Takata Defendants. NHTSA has recalled

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, NHSTA Special Order Directed to American Honda Motor Co., Inc., dated November 5, 2014.

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 9 of 62 Pg ID 88

millions of Honda vehicles for having faulty Takata airbags, including the Subject Vehicle involved in the Incident which forms the subject matter of this litigation. Upon information and belief, the Honda Defendants are all directly responsible for Dr. Nida's injuries, damages, and death which were caused by the defective inflator incorporated into the airbag safety system in the Subject Vehicle that exploded, on April 16, 2016, with inappropriately violent and excessive force, expelling shrapnel and resulting in the injuries and death of Drat Asis and the damages sought herein.

### JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

29. This Honorable Court has personal jurisdiction over Plaintiff Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti because the Plaintiff consents to the jurisdiction of this Court for this matter.

30. This Honorable Court has personal jurisdiction over the Estate of Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis because the Estate is filed in the State of Michigan, County of Oakland and because the Plaintiff consents to the jurisdiction of this Court for this matter.

31. This Honorable Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Takata Corporation, a foreign corporation because it conducts substantial business in the state of Michigan such that it should anticipate being haled into Court here; has an office or agency in the state of Michigan, because its acts and/or omissions and the consequences thereof resulted in tortious injury to the Plaintiff, and because some of the actions giving rise to this Complaint took place in this state.

32. This Honorable Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant TK Holdings, a foreign corporation because it conducts substantial business in the state of Michigan such that it should anticipate being haled into Court here; has an office or agency in the state of Michigan, because its acts and/or omissions and the consequences thereof resulted in tortious injury to the Plaintiff, and because some of the actions giving rise to this Complaint took place in this state. Defendant

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 10 of 62 Pg ID 89

TK Holdings is registered as an active Foreign Profit Corporation in Michigan and may be served by and through its registered agent for service of process at CSC –Lawyers Incorporating Service at 601 Abbot Road, East Lansing Michigan 48823.

33. This Honorable Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Honda Motor Co., Inc. a foreign corporation because it conducts substantial business in the state of Michigan such that it should anticipate being haled into Court here; has an office or agency in the state of Michigan, because its acts and/or omissions and the consequences thereof resulted in tortious injury to the Plaintiff, and because some of the actions giving rise to this Complaint took place in this state.

34. This Honorable Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Honda R & D Co., Ltd. a foreign corporation because it conducts substantial business in the state of Michigan such that it should anticipate being haled into Court here; has an office or agency in the state of Michigan, because its acts and/or omissions and the consequences thereof resulted in tortious injury to the Plaintiff in this state, and because some of the actions giving rise to this Complaint took place in this state.

35. This Honorable Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant American Honda Motor Co., Inc. a foreign corporation because it conducts substantial business in the state of Michigan such that it should anticipate being haled into Court here; has an office or agency in the state of Michigan, because its acts and/or omissions and the consequences thereof resulted in tortious injury to the Plaintiff in this state, and because some of the actions giving rise to this Complaint took place in this state.

36. This Honorable Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Honda Mfg., a foreign corporation because it conducts substantial business in the state of Michigan such that it should anticipate being haled into Court here; has an office or agency in the state of Michigan, because

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 11 of 62 Pg ID 90

its acts and/or omissions and the consequences thereof resulted in tortious injury to the Plaintiff in this state, and because some of the actions giving rise to this Complaint took place in this state.

37. This Honorable Court has diversity jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

38. This Honorable Court has personal jurisdiction over all Defendants party to this action, pursuant to Michigan Statutes § 600.705 (1), (2), (3), (5), (6) and (7) because they conduct substantial business in this District, acted in Michigan resulting in a tort, own real property within the state, entered into a contract for services in Michigan, act as a director, manager, trustee, or other officer having its principal place of business in Michigan, maintain a domicile within the state, and some of the actions giving rise to this Complaint took place in this District.

39. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because all of the corporate entity Defendants, as corporate entities, are deemed to reside in any judicial district in which they are subject to personal jurisdiction. Additionally, all of the Defendants party to this action transact business within this District, and some of the events establishing the claims arose in this District.

#### NATURE OF CLAIM

40. An automotive component supplier that manufactures and sells airbags in automobiles and vehicle manufacturers must take all necessary steps to ensure that its products—which can literally mean the difference between life and death in an accident—function as designed, specified, promised, and intended. Profits *must* take a back seat to safety for the airbag manufacturer and the automobile manufacturer in making its product sourcing decisions. Yet Takata and Honda put profits ahead of safety. Takata cut corners to build cheaper airbags, and Honda bought its airbags from Takata to save money. The result is that instead of saving lives, faulty Takata airbags in Honda automobiles are killing and maiming drivers and passengers

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 12 of 62 Pg ID 91

involved in otherwise minor and survivable accidents. Even more alarming, rather than take the issue head-on and immediately do everything in their power to prevent further injury and loss of life, Takata and Honda have engaged in a ten-year pattern of deception and obfuscation, only very recently beginning a partial recall of affected vehicles.

41. Airbags are a critical component in the safety features of virtually every motor vehicle sold in the United States and throughout the world. Currently, over 30,000 people are killed in motor vehicle accidents each year in the United States. Remarkably, that number is nearly half of what it was in 1966, when over 50,000 Americans died in car crashes. The drastic reduction is, in large part, due to tremendous advances in vehicle occupant safety, including the widespread use of seatbelts and airbags.

42. In order to prevent serious injury and death resulting from bodily impact with the hard interior surfaces of automobiles, like windshields, steering columns, dashboards, and pillars, upon a vehicle experiencing a specified change in velocity in a collision, accelerometers and sensors in the vehicle frame trigger the vehicle airbags to deploy. Because collisions can occur at rates of speed that can cause serious injury, to be effective, airbags must deploy timely and at appropriate velocity to be effective, but not subject the occupant to additional unnecessary harm. To accomplish this, the airbag system is through highly conductive metals, such as gold, and the airbag systems use small explosive charges to immediately inflate the airbags upon being triggered. This case flows directly from the now admitted fact that Takata's explosive charge components in its airbag systems were defectively manufactured since as early as 2001, and perhaps earlier.

43. Rather than deploying the airbags to prevent injuries, the defective Takata airbag inflators quite literally blew up like hand-grenades, sending lethal metal and plastic shrapnel into the

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 13 of 62 Pg ID 92

vehicle cockpit and into the bodies of the drivers and passengers. In fact, in one otherwise noncatastrophic collision, responding police opened a homicide investigation because it appeared that the deceased driver had been stabled multiple times in the head and neck immediately before crashing her car. In truth and fact, the defective Takata airbag in the Honda vehicle had exploded and killed the driver by sending metal and plastic fragments into her body.

44. Takata and Honda knew of the deadly airbag defect at least 13 years ago, but did nothing to prevent ongoing injury and loss of life. Takata's first airbag defect recall stemmed from defective manufacturing in 2000, but was limited (by Takata) to a recall of select Isuzu vehicles. In Alabama, in 2004, a Takata airbag in a Honda Accord exploded, shooting out metal fragments which gravely injured the driver. Honda and Takata unilaterally deemed it "an anomaly" and did not issue a recall, adequately investigate it themselves, or seek the involvement of federal safety regulators. Instead, they brushed it under the rug: Takata kept making defective airbags; and Honda kept putting them in its vehicles while marketing them as highly safe and of high quality.

45. Airbags are meant to inflate timely during an automobile collision but with only such force necessary to cushion the occupant from impact to the vehicle's interior and not cause additional enhanced injury. When people operate a motor vehicle or ride in one as a passenger, they trust and rely on the manufacturers of those motor vehicles to make those vehicles safe. The Defective Vehicles contain airbags manufactured by Defendant Takata that, instead of protecting vehicle occupants from bodily injury during accidents, violently explode using excessive force, and in many incidents, expel lethal amounts of metal debris and shrapnel at vehicle occupants.

46. The Subject Vehicle contained airbags manufactured by the Takata Defendants that, instead of protecting vehicle occupants from bodily injury during accidents, violently exploded,

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 14 of 62 Pg ID 93

with excessive force, to cause Dr. Nida's extensive injuries, damages, and death.

47. Despite Takata and Honda's prior knowledge of the propensity of the defective airbags to explode violently, injuring and killing occupants, the Subject Vehicle has not been recalled.<sup>3</sup>

48. Prior to designing, selecting, inspecting, testing, manufacturing, assembling, equipping, marketing, distributing, and/or selling the Subject Vehicle, the Defendant manufacturers were all aware that there existed alternative driver's frontal airbag system designs, which were safer, more practical and were both technologically and economically feasible for inclusion in the Vehicle. Upon information and belief, these alternative designs would eliminate the defective and unsafe characteristics of the Subject Vehicle without impairing its usefulness or making it too expensive.

49. The defects in Takata's airbags date back to at least April 2000, when, according to one recall notice, some Takata airbags produced between April 2000 and September 2002 contained manufacturing defects. Takata became aware of the defect at least as early as 2004 when it was informed of the first complaint relating to the exploding Takata airbags in Honda vehicles.

50. In 2004, a Takata airbag in a Honda Accord exploded in Alabama, shooting out metal shrapnel and severely injuring the car's driver. Honda and Takata deemed the incident "an anomaly" and did nothing about it. Neither Honda nor Takata sought the involvement of federal safety regulators.

51. Upon information and belief, however, that same year, Takata conducted a series of secret tests after those first reports of the Honda incident, but despite its discovery that improper

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> NHTSA Campaign Number 14V-353, http://www-odi.nhtsa.dot.gov/owners/SearchResults (last visited Jan. 9, 2015) (issued June 20, 2014 and covering certain model year 2001-2007 Accord (4-cylinder), 2001-2002 Accord (V6), 2001-2005 Civic, 2002-2006 CR-V, 2003-2011 Element, 2002-2004 Odyssey, 2003-2007 Pilot, 2006 Ridgeline, 2003-2006 Acura MDX, and 2002-2003 TL/CL vehicles).

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 15 of 62 Pg ID 94

welds could lead to airbag explosions, the company affirmatively took action to destroy all evidence of those tests and failed to report the negative results to the proper authorities.

52. Honda, also, did not issue an appropriate recall that year. In fact, Honda did not tell regulators about this event until an inquiry into its 2009 recall, the first with respect to the Takata airbags. After additional Takata-manufactured airbags ruptured, Honda issued additional recalls in 2010, 2011, 2013 and 2014.

53. The serious danger posed by the lethal Takata airbags was not disclosed to U.S. safety regulators until 2008, despite red flags raised by the 2004 Honda exploding airbag incident. Indeed, Honda received three additional reports of airbag rupture incidents in 2007, but never issued recalls or told U.S. safety regulators that the incidents involved exploding airbags. Finally, in November 2008, Honda informed U.S. authorities that it had a problem with some of the Takata airbags installed in its vehicles. However, at that time Honda recalled only 4,000 Accords and Civics.

54. In April 2009, six months after the limited 2008 recall, a Takata airbag in a Florida resident's Honda Civic exploded after a minor accident. The violent explosion sent a twoinch piece of shrapnel from the airbag flying into the driver's neck. Although the driver survived, when highway troopers found her, blood was gushing from a gash in her neck. The driver's car was not part of the 2008 Recall.

55. In May 2009, a month after the above accident, an 18-year-old driver was killed while driving a 2001 Honda Accord when the Takata airbag in her car exploded after her car bumped into another car in a parking lot. The metal shrapnel that shot out of the exploding Takata airbag sliced open her carotid artery and she bled to death. Her car was not one of those recalled six months earlier by Honda.

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 16 of 62 Pg ID 95

56. It wasn't until two months after that incident and death that Honda expanded its 2008 recall to about 400,000 vehicles, summoning back additional 2001 and 2002 Acura, Civic, and Accord models.

57. In recent incidents, first responders have been baffled by the fact that victims of apparently minor accidents suffered injuries more consistent with being shot or stabbed repeatedly, or unexplained cervical fractures.

58. For example, around July 2014, a Southern Florida resident was involved in a crash while driving her 2001 Honda Civic. While she survived the automobile accident, she was badly injured when a chunk of metal exploded from her car's Takata airbag into her forehead. She survived, but now suffers from headaches, nausea, and loss of vision.

59. On September 29, 2014, a Florida resident died four days after her 2001 Honda Accord struck another car in Orlando and the Takata airbag exploded, sending shrapnel into her neck. The medical examiner stated that the shrapnel tore through the airbag, hitting the driver and causing "stab-type wounds" and cutting her trachea. Indeed, her death was initially investigated as a homicide by detectives. A week after she died, a letter arrived at her house in the mail from Honda urging her to get her car fixed because of faulty airbags that could explode.

60. Despite this shocking record, both Takata and Honda have been slow to report the full extent of the danger to drivers and passengers and failed to issue appropriate recalls. Both Honda and Takata provided contradictory and inconsistent explanations to regulators for the defects in Takata's airbags, leading to more confusion and delay. Indeed, the danger of exploding airbags and the number of vehicles affected was not disclosed for years after it became apparent there was a potentially lethal problem. Instead, Takata and Honda repeatedly failed to fully investigate the problem and issue proper recalls, allowing the problem to proliferate and cause

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 17 of 62 Pg ID 96

numerous injuries and at least four deaths over the last 13 years.

61. It was not until 2013, four years after Honda first reported the problem to U.S. regulators, that a more detailed recounting of Takata's safety failures was revealed. The full scope of the defects have yet to be determined. More information about Takata's defective airbags continues to be uncovered today.

62. Takata's own airbag manufacturing plants did not abide by Takata's internal safety rules. In 2002, Takata's airbag manufacturing plant in Mexico allowed a defect rate that was "six to eight times above" acceptable limits, or roughly 60 to 80 defective parts for every 1 million airbag inflators shipped.

63. To date, over 100 million vehicles with Takata's airbags have been recalled worldwide, and there are reports that additional vehicles that have not yet been disclosed by the Defendants could join the list of recalls. The large majority of those recalls have come only within the last year despite the fact that many of the vehicles were manufactured with a potentially defective and dangerous airbag over a decade ago. As of July 21<sup>st</sup>, 2016, Honda has recalled 8.5 million Honda and Acura vehicles in the U.S. which are potentially affected by Takata-manufactured airbags; and nearly 26 million BMW, Chrysler, Ford, GM, Mazda, Mitsubishi, Nissan, Subaru and Toyota vehicles are affected in the U.S. alone.

64. Takata airbag ruptures have been linked to ten deaths in the U.S. and 3 more confirmed in Malaysia. To date there have been more than 139 injuries, including 37 people who reported air bags that ruptured or spewed shrapnel or chemicals.

65. U.S. federal prosecutors have taken notice of Takata's failure to properly report the problem with its airbags and are trying to determine whether Takata misled U.S. regulators about the number of defective airbags it sold to automakers.

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 18 of 62 Pg ID 97

66. Takata and Honda knew or should have known that the Takata airbags installed in millions of vehicles, including the Subject Vehicle, were defective. Both Takata and Honda, who concealed their knowledge of the nature and extent of the defects from the public, have shown a blatant disregard for public welfare and safety.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

### A. Takata is a Major Manufacturer of Airbags and Inflators

67. Defendant Takata is the world's second largest manufacturer of automotive safety devices, including airbags. Takata has supplied airbags to U.S. consumers and to state and local governmental purchasers since at least 1983.

68. Airbags made up 37.3% of Takata's automotive safety products business in 2007.

69. Takata also develops other safety technologies, including cushions and inflators, which are components of Takata-manufactured airbags.

70. The airbags at issue in this case were developed by Takata in the late 1990s in an effort to make airbags more compact and to reduce the toxic fumes that earlier airbag models emitted when deployed. The redesigned airbags are inflated by means of an explosive based on a common compound used in fertilizer. That explosive is encased in a metal canister.

71. Takata Corporation has, since at least 2007, claimed to prioritize driver safety as its "dream."<sup>4</sup>

72. Based on that "dream," they claimed to be "motivated by the preciousness of life" and pledged to both "communicate openly and effectively."<sup>5</sup> Takata has failed to live up to its dream by manufacturing, distributing, and selling airbags that can cause serious bodily injury or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Takata Company Investor's Meeting Presentation- Investment Highlights, FY2007, at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Id.

death.

## B. Honda Field Reports and Takata Internal Testing Reveal a Problem

73. Takata has known since at least 2004 that Takata airbags, and particularly the inflator component, were defective.

74. That year, Honda had received its first complaint related to Takata airbag inflator rupture, and had taken action to immediately share that information with the company. More specifically, in May 2004, a Takata airbag had violently exploded in a Honda Accord, and shot out metal fragments which seriously injured the car's driver. After Honda alerted Takata to the ruptured airbag, Takata reported back to Honda that it was also unable to find a cause for the airbag failure.<sup>6</sup> A former Takata lab employee who examined that airbag reported that the "inflator that ruptured in the Accord and injured the car's driver that year 'looked like it had exploded, and had a hole punched out of the side of the canister."<sup>7</sup> Nevertheless, Honda "determined that the supplier 'provided a reasonable explanation of this event as an anomaly," and did not issue a recall or seek the involvement of federal safety regulators.<sup>8</sup>

75. *The New York Times* has revealed, however, that during the summer of 2004, after the airbag explosion in Alabama, Takata secretly conducted tests on 50 airbags, which were retrieved from scrapyards. The tests were performed after normal work hours and on weekends and holidays in Auburn Hills, Michigan and were supervised by Al Bernat, Takata's then Vice President for Engineering.<sup>9</sup>

76. Two of the airbag inflators that were tested showed cracks and "rapid disassembly" (or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi, "Air Bag Flaw, Long Known to Honda and Takata, Led to Recalls," *New York Times* (Sept. 11, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Id.

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$  Id.

<sup>9</sup> Id.

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 20 of 62 Pg ID 99

exploded), and Takata engineers theorized that a welding problem with the inflator's canister, which holds the airbag's explosives, made the airbags vulnerable to split or rupture. In response, Takata engineers attempted to design prototypes to remedy the issue.

77. After three months of testing, however, Takata ordered the testing halted. Lab employees were instructed to destroy all of the data related to the testing, including video and computer backups. Moreover, the airbag inflators and the prototypes were ordered to be disassembled and disposed. According to a former Takata employee, "All the testing was hush-hush … Then one day it was, 'Pack it all up, shut the whole thing down.' It was not standard procedure."

78. Takata did not disclose these tests and continues to deny they occurred.

79. Moreover, according to *The New York Times* article, internal Takata documents show that Takata faced a series of quality control problems related to its airbags. In particular, airbags were being delivered to automakers wet or damaged. Airbags were not always properly inspected, and checks that had been introduced to keep airbags in the appropriate condition were being ignored.<sup>10</sup> 80. Takata was aware that the mishandling of airbags and airbag inflators created a danger. A Takata local manager noted in October 2005 that "[t]he propellant arrangement inside is what can be damaged when the airbags are dropped," which is "why it is important to handle our product properly."<sup>11</sup>

81. Nonetheless, even after stricter quality controls were introduced, Takata's production facilities would resist taking back damaged or wet airbags, in an effort to keep up with the demand of automakers.<sup>12</sup>

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi, "Takata Saw and Hid Risk in Airbags in 2004, Former Workers Say," *New York Times* (Nov. 6, 2014).
 <sup>11</sup> *Id*.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  Id.

<sup>--</sup> *1a*.

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 21 of 62 Pg ID 100

82. In February, June and August of 2007, Honda notified Takata of additional airbag explosion incidents.<sup>13</sup> All three involved defective airbags driving metal fragments into the faces and limbs of car passengers upon deployment of the airbags. These incidents triggered an internal investigation by Takata, including a survey of inflators; however, Honda did not initiate a recall or provide information about the airbag ruptures to federal regulators because it "wanted to await the outcome of a 'failure mode analysis' being conducted by Takata."<sup>14</sup> 83. Honda settled financial claims with the individuals injured by the airbags. These settlements were confidential.

84. Honda filed a standard report with U.S. safety regulators on the initial air bag injury in 2004, and followed up with similar filings on the incidents in 2007. Inexplicably, Honda did not issue any recalls and never informed safety regulators of the most critical detail of these incidents: that the airbags posed a substantial risk of serious injury or death when deployed.

85. *The New York Times* reported that, approximately three months later in 2007, "Takata engineers laid out a theory about what might have gone wrong: Between late 2001 and late 2002, workers at a Takata factory in Monclova, Mexico, had left out moisture-sensitive explosives on the plant floor, making them prone to 'overly energetic combustion."<sup>15</sup> However, Takata purportedly assured Honda "that by November 2002, it had overhauled production processes to 'assure proper handling' of all its explosives."<sup>16</sup> Based on those findings and assurances, Honda and Takata "elected to continue monitoring the problem, according to Honda."<sup>17</sup> Nevertheless, "internal documents suggest Takata engineers scrambled as late as 2009 to repair a machine at its

" Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi, "Air Bag Flaw, Long Known to Honda and Takata, Led to Recalls," *New York Times* (Sept. 11, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Id. <sup>16</sup> Id.

 $<sup>^{17}</sup>$  Id.

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 22 of 62 Pg ID 101

Monclova plant that pressed explosive propellant powder into pellets after 'inflators tested from multiple propellant lots showed aggressive ballistics,' according to the internal presentation in June 2009."<sup>18</sup>

86. Then, without notifying the vehicles' owners, "Honda started collecting Takata-made airbag inflators returned to dealers as part of unrelated warranty claims, which were then sent to Takata engineers. Old airbag inflators from Honda cars at scrap yards were also retrieved and studied."<sup>19</sup> After a yearlong study, "Takata engineers told Honda that they were convinced moisture was at the root of the defect. But only a small number of inflators were affected, Takata told the Honda officials."<sup>20</sup>

87. Despite this knowledge, neither Honda nor Takata publicly disclosed the danger of the Takata-manufactured exploding airbags to consumers for many years after the first reported incident in 2004, "despite red flags – including three additional ruptures reported to Honda in 2007."<sup>21</sup>

#### C. 2008: Recall 08V593

88. Takata shared the results of the inflator survey analysis with Honda in November of 2008. That analysis indicated an airbag inflator issue. The results triggered a Honda recall, but for only about 4,200 of its vehicles.<sup>22</sup> This recall occurred over four years after the first airbag explosion incident in a Honda car.

89. The November 2008 recall involved certain 2001 Honda Accord and Civic vehicles to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi, "Takata Saw and Hid Risk in Airbags in 2004, Former Workers Say," *New York Times* (Nov. 6, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Id.

 $<sup>^{20}</sup>$  *Id.* 

 $<sup>^{21}</sup>$  *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See NHTSA Campaign Number 08V-593, http://www-odi.nhtsa.dot.gov/ (last visited Jan. 9, 2015) (issued Nov. 14, 2008 and covering certain 2001 Honda Accords and Civics).

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 23 of 62 Pg ID 102

replace airbags that "could produce excessive internal pressure," causing "the inflator to rupture," spraying metal fragments through the airbag cushion ("2008 Recall").<sup>23</sup> Honda reported to NHTSA at that time "that it had identified *all* 'possible vehicles that could potentially experience the problem."<sup>24</sup> (emphasis added).

### D. 2009: Recall 09V259

90. Less than six months after Honda's November 2008 recall, in April 2009, the airbag in the Honda Civic, "which was not among the recalled vehicles, exploded after a minor accident in Orlando, Fla."<sup>25</sup> According to articles reporting on the incident, the "air bag explosion sent a two-inch piece of shrapnel flying. When highway troopers found [the driver], with blood gushing from a gash in her neck, they were baffled by the extent of her injuries. At Honda, engineers soon linked the accident to the previous ruptures."<sup>26</sup>

91. The following month, in May 2009, an Oklahoma driver was killed "when the airbag in her 2001 Honda Accord exploded out of her steering wheel after a minor crash."<sup>27</sup> Following this accident and fatality, "Honda only filed the required early warning reports, which do not allow for specifics about the [airbag] ruptures."<sup>28</sup>

92. Two additional deaths were subsequently "linked to exploding air bags, in Oklahoma and Virginia, both in 2009 and [both] in Honda vehicles."<sup>29</sup>

93. Takata then reported to Honda that the defective airbag components had been made at its

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Nov. 11, 2008 Honda Recall Letter to NHTSA, at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi, "Air Bag Flaw, Long Known to Honda and Takata, Led to Recalls," *New York Times* (Sept. 11, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi, "Air Bag Flaw, Long Known to Honda and Takata, Led to Recalls," *New York Times* (Sept. 11, 2014); Hiroko Tabuchi and Christopher Jensen, "Now the Air Bags Are Faulty, Too," *New York Times* (June 23, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi and Christopher Jensen, "Now the Air Bags Are Faulty, Too," New York Times (June 23, 2014).

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 24 of 62 Pg ID 103

factory in Moses Lake, Washington. At the time, Takata engineers explained to Honda that "[b]etween 2000 and 2002, a flaw in a machine that presses air bag explosives into wafers had made the explosives unstable."<sup>30</sup> The Takata engineers further explained to Honda that with "the defective air bags, explosives in the metal inflator, which would normally burn down and produce the nitrogen gas to inflate the air bag, instead burn aggressively and cause the inflator to burst, shooting hot fragments through the air bag's fabric."<sup>31</sup>

94. *The Wall Street Journal* subsequently reported that, after two years of investigation, "Honda and Takata found that a machine at Takata's Moses Lake factory in Washington state had failed to compress chemicals firmly enough. That left the inflators vulnerable to moisture, potentially causing the bags to inflate more forcefully than they were supposed to."<sup>32</sup> At that time, Takata "acknowledged that the defect covered a wider range of vehicles than initially estimated, but explained that the plant had made numerous upgrades to its machinery in late 2002, which it thought had improved the quality of its explosives."<sup>33</sup>

95. In June of 2009, Takata provided a follow-up report to Honda on its November 2008 analysis, stating that issues related to propellant production appeared to have caused the improper inflator performance. Honda subsequently received two more claims of "unusual deployments."<sup>34</sup>

96. As a result of Takata's June 2009 follow up report and the additional claims of "unusual driver air bag deployments," on June 30, 2009, Honda expanded the recall to 440,000 vehicles,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi, "Air Bag Flaw, Long Known to Honda and Takata, Led to Recalls," *New York Times* (Sept. 11, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Colum Murphy and Eric Pfanner, "Takata Faces Rocky Road After Recalls," *The Wall Street Journal* (Oct. 1, 2014). <sup>33</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi, "Air Bag Flaw, Long Known to Honda and Takata, Led to Recalls," *New York Times* (Sept. 11, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Id.

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 25 of 62 Pg ID 104

which included 2001 and 2002 Civic, Accord, and Acura vehicles ("2009 Recall").<sup>35</sup>

97. In August 2009, NHTSA Recall Management Division sent Honda an information request to explain why it did not include 2009 Recall vehicles in the 2008 Recall, and "to evaluate the timeliness of [Honda's] recent defect decision."<sup>36</sup>

98. NHTSA also wanted to know "the difference between the driver's airbag inflators in those vehicles from the inflators in the 09V-259 vehicles and explain how this distinction, or any other between the two sets of vehicles, convinced HMC at the time that it did not need to include the latter set in the 08V-593 recall population."<sup>37</sup>

99. NHTSA Recall Management Division further requested that Honda provide complaints, lawsuits, warranty claims, and field reports, along with an explanation of the "unusual deployments" and Honda's investigative efforts.<sup>38</sup>

100. In Honda's September 2009 reply to NHTSA, the automaker said that its information about the "unusual deployments" came from Takata: "We understood the causal factors to be related to airbag propellant due to handling of the propellant during airbag inflator module assembly."<sup>39</sup> Honda further stated:

Based on our current understanding of the causal factors and the characteristics of suspect inflators as determined by TK Holdings Inc., we believe that we have included all vehicles that could be affected by this defect.

101. Honda also reported, based on information from Takata, the problem with the airbags was isolated to the "production of the airbag propellant prior to assembly of the inflators."<sup>40</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See NHTSA Campaign Number 09V-295, http://www-odi.nhtsa.dot.gov, last visited (Jan. 9, 2015)(issued July 29, 2009 and expanding the first recall to include certain 2001-2002 Honda Accords and Civics and 2002-2003 Acura TL vehicles).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Aug. 19, 2009 Letter from NHTSA to American Honda Motor Co.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Sept. 16, 2009 Letter from Honda American Motor Co. to NHTSA, at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> *Id.* at 1.

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 26 of 62 Pg ID 105

Specifically, the cause was "related to the process of pressing the propellant into wafers that were later installed into the inflator modules," and limited to "one production process" involving one high-precision compression press that was used to form the propellant into wafers, the automaker told NHTSA.<sup>41</sup>

102. Honda also disclosed to NHTSA that it had fielded nine complaints and one lawsuit related to the 2008 and 2009 Recalls. Honda also, for the first time, told NHTSA about the 2004 incident involving an "unusual deployment" of the vehicles airbag. Honda claimed that it "only recently were reminded of this incident," and that, until recently, Honda "had not associated it with the [2008 Recall] campaign."<sup>42</sup>

103. At least four complaints have been submitted to NHTSA by Honda vehicle operators reporting defective airbag deployments that have released metal shards into the cabin of the Honda vehicle.

#### E. Takata's Contact with NHTSA

104. In its communications with NHTSA, Takata continually gave misleading or incorrect information about the airbags it manufactured that were part of the recalls.

105. On November 20, 2009, NHTSA requested information from Takata as part of their ongoing investigation into the airbag inflators that triggered the 2009 Recall.

106. In December 2009, a Honda Accord hit a mail truck in Virginia. The vehicle's airbag exploded, "propelling shrapnel into [the driver's] neck and chest, and she bled to death in front of her three children, according to a lawsuit filed by her family."<sup>43</sup>

107. Takata submitted a partial response to NHTSA on December 23, 2009 ("Partial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> *Id.* at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi, "Air Bag Flaw, Long Known to Honda and Takata, Led to Recalls," *New York Times* (Sept. 11, 2014).

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 27 of 62 Pg ID 106

Response"), and then a full response on February 19, 2010 ("Full Response"). Both responses provided vague and misleading information about the seriousness of the problem.

108. In both responses, Takata asserted that there were no substantive design differences between the inflators in the airbags at issue in the two recalls. However, in the Full Response, Takata states that there were, in fact, differences in the production processes between the lots.

109. In both responses, Takata asserted that the defects only existed in specific lots manufactured between certain dates. They claimed that the inflators involved in the 2008 Recall were manufactured between October 29, 2000 and December 1, 2000. They also claimed that inflators involved in the 2009 Recall were manufactured between August 23, 2000 and February 25, 2001.

110. Takata did not provide the dates the inflators were shipped, as NHTSA requested, because, as Takata admitted, its records did not have that information. Instead, they gave just the manufacturing dates.

111. In both the Partial Response to NHTSA on December 23, 2009, and the Full Response on February 19, 2010, Takata stated that: "Takata has not provided any airbag inflators that are the same or substantially similar to the inflators in vehicles covered by the recalls in 2008 and 2009 to any customers other than Honda. The physical characteristics of the inflator housing used in the Honda vehicles subject to these recalls are unique to Honda."<sup>44</sup> This statement would prove to be untrue.

112. In its Full Response, Takata asserted that the defect identified in the 2009 Recall was the result of a single compression press although Takata recommended to Honda that a small number of other vehicles with propellant processed on a different press be recalled as well.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Dec. 23, 2009 Letter from Takata to NHTSA, at 2; Feb. 19, 2010 Letter from Takata to NHTSA, at 2.

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 28 of 62 Pg ID 107

113. In the Full Response, Takata asserted that the defective parts were all manufactured on a particular press (the "Stokes press") in a single manufacturing plant. Takata further asserted that while they did manufacture 2,400 inflators using the same process as the defective inflators, the design was different and "[t]herefore Takata is convinced that the inflators sold [redacted] contain no safety-related defect."<sup>45</sup>

114. Takata wrote in its Full Response that it "believed – [redacted] – that expanding the recall to include all vehicles equipped with inflators manufactured with Stokes propellant produced through and including February 28, 2001 would capture all inflators with tablets that had a risk of producing overly energetic combustion. This recommendation, as well as the analysis that supported it, was presented to Honda on June 12, 2009."<sup>46</sup>

115. NHTSA was apparently satisfied with Takata's February 19, 2010 responses to its November 20, 2009 request for information, because on May 6, 2010, NHTSA closed its investigation into the Takata-manufactured airbags. In summary, NHTSA stated:

This [Recall Query "RQ"] investigation was opened to collect and analyze additional information to better evaluate the scope and timeliness of Honda's two safety recalls addressing rupturing of the driver's side air bag inflators (08V-593 and 09V-259).

In an earlier response to [Recall Management Division's "RMD's"] request for information, Honda indicated that the second recall (09V-259), essentially an expansion of the first (08V-593), was necessary since later information informed that the source of the defect was different than originally postulated, and so the scope of the vehicles affected changed. Honda indicated that it had relied on its supplier of the air bag inflators, Takata, Inc. (Takata), in studying the possible sources of the inflator ruptures and identifying the recall populations. Accordingly, RMD issued a request for information to Takata on November 20, 2009, and Takata provided a partial response on December 23, 2009. Takata then provided its complete response on February 19, 2010.

Takata informed [Office of Defects Investigation "ODI"] that, after analysis of several ruptured inflators, Takata and Honda initially attributed the defect to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Feb. 19, 2010 Letter from Takata to NHTSA, at 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> *Id.* at 11-12.

handling of the propellant during inflator assembly that could have yielded increased moisture levels that, when coupled with thermal cycling in automobiles over time, could lead to reduced propellant density and overly aggressive combustion during air bag deployment. However, it discovered upon review of inflators returned from the 08V-593 recall campaign, some of which fell outside the manufacturing range when Takata suspected the propellant was exposed to elevated moisture, that the source of the defect was more likely due to problems with a specific compression press (Stokes press) used to form the inflator's propellant. Specifically, the propellant tablets produced by the press were found to be less dense, and therefore more susceptible to overly aggressive combustion during air bag deployment. Takata identified the manufacturing time frame over which the tablets were shown to have less dense properties as the end of calendar year 2000. Takata informed Honda of its discovery on or about June 12, 2009. Honda made its defect decision and then notified NHTSA of it on June 30, 2009. Honda then supplemented its filing with an identification of the specific vehicles to be included in the campaign, as well as identifying additional models to be included, on July 29, 2009.

As with the 08V-593 campaign, Takata examined inflators returned from the 09V-259 campaign, and some of which were manufactured outside of the range when the Stokes press was found to have produced less dense propellant (beyond the end of February 2001). It provided those findings to Honda and Honda decided to expand safety recall 09V-259 to include all vehicles with inflators containing propellant manufactured using the Stokes press. Honda notified NHTSA of its decision on February 9, 2010.

Based upon all available information, there is insufficient information to suggest that Honda failed to make timely defect decisions on information it was provided. Also, given that all inflators with propellant manufactured using the Stokes press have been recalled, there are no additional vehicles to be investigated and campaigned. Accordingly, this RQ is closed. (Emphasis added)

116. As The *New York Times* subsequently reported, in the months following NHTSA's 2009/2010 request for information, Takata engineers came up with yet another explanation for the ruptures: "Beginning in September 2001, machine operators at the Moses Lake plant could have inadvertently switched off an 'auto reject' function that weeded out poorly made explosives that can become unstable, they said, according to regulatory filings and Honda officials."<sup>47</sup> However, Takata assured Honda at the time that, "as part of the upgrades at that plant, in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi, "Air Bag Flaw, Long Known to Honda and Takata, Led to Recalls," *New York Times* (Sept. 11, 2014).

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 30 of 62 Pg ID 109

September 2002, the supplier had added a locking mechanism that prevented workers from turning the auto-reject function off, according to filings later made by Takata."<sup>48</sup>

117. The *Wall Street Journal* further reported that "Honda and Takata discovered more problems. At Moses Lake, employees had switched off a mechanism that automatically checked whether the right amount of propellant was loaded in inflators; at a plant in Monclova, Mexico, a dehumidifier that kept parts dry hadn't been turned on. At times poor record-keeping meant Honda and Takata couldn't figure out which cars had defective bags. After each discovery, recalls mounted."<sup>49</sup>

118. Both Honda and Takata represented to the public and NHTSA that the total number of affected vehicles was quite small.

### F. 2010: Recall 10V041

119. In 2010, merely months after its previous recall, Honda announced a third recall for an additional 379,000 vehicles, including 2005 Honda Accord, 2002 Honda Odyssey, 2003 Honda Pilot, 2002-2003 Acura 3.2TL, and 2003 Acura 3.2CL vehicles, while adding more 2001 and 2002 Accords and Civics to its 2009 recall list ("2010 Recall").<sup>50</sup>

120. Later in 2010, a 2001 Honda Civic was stopped at a red light in Georgia when the Takata-manufactured airbag "spontaneously deployed."<sup>51</sup> The driver of that vehicle "was hit by metal shards from the canister that housed the air bag's propellant; the shards were sharp enough to penetrate the fabric of the air bag and puncture her neck and carotid artery."<sup>52</sup>

121. Honda's explanation for the airbag defects changed yet again. Honda explained that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Colum Murphy and Eric Pfanner, "Takata Faces Rocky Road After Recalls," *The Wall Street Journal* (Oct. 1, 2014). <sup>50</sup> *See* NHTSA Campaign Number 10V-041, http://www-odi.nhtsa.dot.gov (last visited Jan. 9, 2015) (issued Feb. 11, 2010 and expanding the prior recalls to include certain 2001- 2003 Honda Accords, Civics, Odysseys, CR-Vs, Pilots, and certain 2002-2003 Acura TL and CL vehicles).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi and Christopher Jensen, "Now the Air Bags Are Faulty, Too," *New York Times* (June 23, 2014). <sup>52</sup> *Id.* 

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 31 of 62 Pg ID 110

there are two different manufacturing processes utilized in the preparation of an airbag propellant. While one process is within specification, the other is not. Honda's expanded recall reached those vehicles employing airbags that had utilized manufacturing processes not within specification.

### G. 2011: Recall 11V260

Recall No. 11V-260, issued by Honda in April 2011 ("2011 Recall"), involved an 122. 833,277 Honda and Acura vehicles, and involved the following models: 2001-2002 Honda Accord, 2001-2002 Honda Civic, 2002-2003 Honda CR-V, 2002 Honda Odyssey, 2002-2003 Acura TL, and 2003 Acura CL.63 This recall campaign was related to prior recalls 08V-593 (Nov. 2008), 09V-259 (July 2009) and 10V-041 (Feb. 2010).

As part of this recall, Honda filed a Part 573 Defect and Noncompliance report for 2,430 123. replacement service part airbag modules that might have been installed in vehicles covered by previous recall expansions. Honda was unable to determine which vehicles contained the defective replacement parts, forcing it to recall all vehicles that might have had the part installed.

124. In September 2011, a driver in Puerto Rico crashed his Honda Civic, "deploying airbags that launched 'sharp pieces of metal' toward him, causing extensive injuries," according to a lawsuit he filed against Honda.<sup>53</sup> Although Honda reached a confidential settlement with the driver in 2013, it "does not appear to have filed a report on the case with regulators."<sup>54</sup>

Honda reported its death and injury tallies to regulators only in a confidential submission 125. in December 2011, when it issued a fifth limited recall for the rupture defect, according to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi and Christopher Jensen, "It Looked Like a Stabbing, but Takata Airbag Was the Killer," New York Times (Oct. 20, 2014). <sup>54</sup> Id.

### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 32 of 62 Pg ID 111

NHTSA.<sup>55</sup> That recall expanded Recall No. 11V-260 (April 2011), to include an additional 272,779 Honda and Acura vehicles. The expanded recall also included another 640 airbags sold as replacement parts, however, because Honda was unable to ascertain on which vehicles the 640 replacement air bags were installed, an additional 603,241 vehicles were also recalled.<sup>56</sup>

126. Collectively, the total number of Honda and Acura vehicles that had been recalled by the end of 2011 because they contained Takata-manufactured airbags was over 1.7 million vehicles.

### H. 2013: Recall 13V132

127. By 2013, it became clear that the defective airbag issue was far more widespread than Takata or Honda initially reported to NHTSA.

128. According to Honda's 2013 Defect and Noncompliance report, an exploding airbag in Puerto Rico in October 2011 prompted Honda to ask permission from NHTSA to collect "healthy" airbag modules to see if "abnormal combustion was possible." Honda found that even its so-called "healthy" airbags could abnormally combust in certain conditions.

On February 8, 2013, NHTSA and Honda met to discuss the "ongoing investigation" into Honda's defective Takata airbags. Honda stated: A recreation of propellant production using the same methods as were used during 2001-2002 production periods indicated that it was possible for propellant produced during 2001-2002 to be manufactured out of specification without the manufacturing processes correctly identifying and removing the out of specification propellant. Separately, Honda was informed by the supplier of another potential concern related to airbag inflator production that could affect the performance of these airbag modules.<sup>57</sup>

129. On April 10, 2013, Honda filed a Recall Notification ("2013 Recall") for their 2001-

2003 Civic, 2002-2003 CR-V, and their 2002 Odyssey vehicles with NHTSA. In that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi, "Air Bag Flaw, Long Known to Honda and Takata, Led to Recalls," *New York Times* (Sept. 11, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> See NHTSA Campaign Number 11V-260, http://www-odi.nhtsa.dot.gov (last visited Jan. 9, 2015) (issued April 27 2011 and expanding the recalls to include certain 2001-2004 Honda Accords, Civics, Civic Hybrids, Odysseys, CR-Vs, Pilots, and certain 2002-2003 Acura TL and CL vehicles).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> April 10, 2013 Letter to NHTSA, at 2-3.

notification, Honda asserted that 561,422 vehicles could be affected by the following part defect:

## **Defect description:**

In certain vehicles, the passenger's (frontal) airbag inflator could produce excessive internal pressure. If an affected airbag deploys, the increased internal pressure may cause the inflator to rupture. In the event of an inflator rupture, metal fragments could be propelled upward toward the windshield, or downward toward the front passenger's foot well, potentially causing injury to a vehicle occupant.<sup>58</sup>

130. On April 11, 2013, Takata filed a Defect Information Report titled "Certain Airbag

Inflators Used as Original Equipment" ("Takata DIR"). In that report, Takata identified the

defective airbags as follows:

Certain airbag inflators installed in frontal passenger-side airbag modules equipped with propellant wafers manufactured at Takata's Moses Lake, Washington plant during the period from April 13 2000 (start of production) through September 11, 2002...and certain airbag inflators manufactured at Takata's Monclova, Mexico plant during the period from October 4, 2001 (start of production) through October 31, 2002....<sup>59</sup>

131. It wasn't until its April 2013 Report that Takata finally admitted that its affected inflators

were installed as original equipment in vehicles manufactured by car manufacturers other than

Honda, including Toyota, Nissan, Mazda, and BMW.<sup>60</sup>

132. Takata asserted that it did not know how many inflators were installed in vehicles, as it

did not have those records. While it did not have the information to estimate the number of

vehicles affected, Takata still insisted that the total number of defective installed inflators would

be extremely low.<sup>61</sup>

133. Takata described the defect as follows:

Some propellant wafers produced at Takata's plant in Moses Lake, Washington, between April 13, 2000 and September 11, 2002 may have been produced with an inadequate compaction force. . . . In addition some propellant wafers used in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> *Id.* at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Takata April 11, 2013 DIR at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> *Id.* at 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> *Id.* at 3.

inflators produced at Takata's plant in Monclova, Mexico between October 4, 2001 and October 31, 2002, may have been exposed to uncontrolled moisture conditions. These wafers could have absorbed moisture beyond the allowable limits . . . In both cases propellant could potentially deteriorate over time due to environmental factors, which could lead to over-aggressive combustion in the event of an airbag deployment.

This could create excessive internal pressure within the inflator and the body of the inflator could rupture.<sup>62</sup>

# I. 2013 Recalls and Notices Relating to Defective Airbag Inflators

134. In April of 2013, based on Takata's new admissions, six major automakers, including

Nissan, Mazda, BMW, Pontiac, and Honda, issued recalls of 3.6 million vehicles containing

Takata airbags.

135. In September 2013, a California driver was killed after the airbag in his 2002 Acura

ruptured. As The New York Times reported:

The authorities have not determined a reason for the injuries, though his coroner's report cited tears in his airbag and facial trauma from a foreign object.

And problems persist with Honda's reporting of potential defects.

In at least four more recent suspected ruptures, including the one linked to [the California driver's] death, Honda has not filed a so-called early warning report with safety regulators, as is required in cases where there is a claim of defect that resulted in an injury or death, according to case lawyers and legal filings.<sup>63</sup>

136. In June 2014, a low-speed accident involving a 2005 Honda Accord in California caused

the car's driver air bag "to 'detonate,' sending hot metal and plastic shrapnel into the cabin."<sup>64</sup>

137. On June 11, 2014, NHTSA's Office of Defects Investigation ("ODI") published an ODI

Resume for a preliminary evaluation of Investigation No. PE 14-016. That document stated that

NHTSA was opening an investigation "in order to collect all known facts from [Takata] and the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> *Id.* at 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi and Christopher Jensen, "It Looked Like a Stabbing, but Takata Airbag Was the Killer," *New York Times* (Oct. 20, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi, "Air Bag Flaw, Long Known to Honda and Takata, Led to Recalls," *New York Times* (Sept. 11, 2014).

## 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 35 of 62 Pg ID 114

vehicle manufacturers that it believes may have manufactured vehicles equipped with inflators produced during the same period as those that have demonstrated rupture events in the field."

138. Also on June 11, 2014, Takata informed NHTSA that it "believes that an [sic] number of

the inflators identified above were provided to the following vehicle manufacturers for use in

vehicles sold in the United States (the manufacturers are listed in alphabetical order): BMW,

Chrysler, Ford, Honda, Mazda, Nissan, and Toyota." Takata's June 11, 2014 letter further stated:

If we determine that any of those inflators were sold to other vehicle manufacturers, we will let you know promptly.

Takata is not certain which models or model years of vehicles are equipped with the subject inflators, and it does not know how many of those vehicles were sold in or are registered in the States to be covered by the requested field actions. That information will need to be obtained from the affected vehicle manufacturers. (Emphasis added).

139. On June 20, 2014, Honda issued additional Recalls for a total of nearly 4.5 million Honda

and Acura vehicles that contained defective Takata-manufactured airbags:

(a) Recall No. 14V-349 involved 988,440 Honda vehicles, and involved the following models: 2002-2003 Honda Civic, 2002-2003 Honda CR-V, 2002-2003 Honda Odyssey, 2003 Honda Accord, 2003 Honda Element, 2003 Honda Pilot, and 2003 Acura MDX. The recall was necessary "to address a safety defect in the passenger side frontal air bag which may produce excessive internal pressure causing the inflator to rupture upon deployment of the air bag. … In the event of a crash necessitating deployment of the passenger's frontal air bag, the inflator could rupture with metal fragments striking and potentially seriously injuring the passenger seat occupant or other occupants."<sup>65</sup>

(b) Recall No. 15V-320 involved 2,803,214 Honda vehicles, and involved the following models: 2001-2007 Honda Accord, 2001-2005 Honda Civic, 2002-2006 Honda CR-V, 2003-2011 Honda Element, 2002-2004 Honda Odyssey, 2003-2007 Honda Pilot, 2006 Honda Ridgeline, 2003-2006 Acura MDX, 2002-2003 Acura TL, and 2003 Acura CL. This recall was necessary because "[u]pon deployment of the passenger side frontal air bag, excessive internal pressure may cause the inflator to rupture. ... In the event of a crash necessitating deployment of the passenger side frontal air bag, the inflator could rupture with metal fragments

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> See NHTSA Campaign Number 14V-349, http://www-odi.nhtsa.dot.gov (last visited Jan. 9, 2015) (issued Nov. 14, 2014).

## 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 36 of 62 Pg ID 115

striking and potentially seriously injuring the vehicle occupants."66

(c) Recall No. 14V-353 involved 698,288 Honda vehicles, and involved the following models: 2003-2005 Honda Accord, 2003-2005 Honda Civic, 2003-2005 Honda CR-V, 2003-2005 Honda Pilot, 2003-2004 Honda Odyssey, 2003-2004 Honda Element, 2006 Honda Ridgeline, 2003-2005 Acura MDX, and 2005 Acura RL. This recall was also necessary because "[u]pon deployment of the passenger side frontal air bag, excessive internal pressure may cause the inflator to rupture.... In the event of a crash necessitating deployment of the passenger side frontal air bag, the inflator could rupture with metal fragments striking and potentially seriously injuring the vehicle occupants."<sup>67</sup>

140. By the end June 2014, the number of vehicles that had been recalled due to defective Takata-manufactured airbags had increased to over 6 million. However, automakers, including the Honda Defendants, had still not yet recalled all of the vehicles containing Takata-manufactured airbags.

141. On July 8, 2014, Honda expanded a "two million vehicle air bag recall by as many as one million more vehicles in California."<sup>68</sup> *The New York Times* reported that "[a] defective inflator could explode in a crash, sending shards of its metal casing into the passenger compartment. The inflator was made by Takata Corporation, which has said the propellant inside the inflator was not properly prepared and was too powerful."<sup>69</sup> The vehicles affected by this recall included the following models: 2001-2007 Honda Accord, 2001-2005 Honda Civic, 2002-2006 Honda CR-V, 2003-2011 Honda Element, 2002-2004 Honda Odyssey, 2003-2007 Honda Pilot, 2006 Honda Ridgeline, 2003-2006 Acura MDX, 2002-2003 Acura TL, and 2002-2003 Acura CL.

142. On August 18, 2014, *The New York Times* reported that NHTSA had "deepened" its investigation of Honda's airbags. The article further reported that "[f]ederal regulators have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> See NHTSA Campaign Number 14V-351, http://www-odi.nhtsa.dot.gov (last visited Jan. 9, 2015) (issued Nov. 14, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> See NHTSA Campaign Number 14V-353, http://www-odi.nhtsa.dot.gov (last visited Jan. 9, 2015) (issued June 20, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Christopher Jensen, "Honda Expands Takata Air Bag Inflator Recall," *New York Times* (July 8, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Id.

## 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 37 of 62 Pg ID 116

intensified an investigation into the inadvertent deployment of side air bags on 2008 Honda Accords," as they were "concerned that the side air bags along the outer edges of the ceiling and the seats may deploy when a door is slammed."<sup>70</sup>

143. In August 2014, Honda issued yet another recall of Honda and Acura vehicles, "it's *ninth* for the defect – bringing to six million the total of recalled Honda and Acura vehicles" (emphasis added).<sup>71</sup>

144. On October 2, 2014, a Florida resident "died four days after she crashed her 2001 Honda

Accord and was pierced in the neck by debris from the air bag, police said. Authorities originally

believed her wounds were caused by an assault."<sup>72</sup> As *The New York Times* reported:

Hien Tran lay dying in intensive care this month after a car accident, as detectives searched for clues about the apparent stab wounds in her neck.

An unlikely breakthrough arrived in the mail a week after she died from her injuries. It was a letter from Honda urging her to get her red Accord fixed, because of faulty airbags that could explode.

"The airbag," said Tina Tran, the victim's twin sister. "They said it was the airbag."

Ms. Tran became at least the third death associated with the mushrooming recalls of vehicles containing defective airbags made by Takata, a Japanese auto supplier. More than 14 million vehicles from 11 automakers that contain the airbags have been recalled worldwide.

When Ms. Tran crashed her car, the airbag, instead of protecting her, appeared to have exploded and sent shrapnel flying into her neck, the Orange County sheriff's office said.<sup>73</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Christopher Jensen, "N.H.T.S.A. Deepens Investigation of Honda Accord Air Bags," *New York Times* (Aug. 18, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi, "Air Bag Flaw, Long Known to Honda and Takata, Led to Recalls," *New York Times* (Sept. 11, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Jeff Bennett, Christopher Matthews and Christina Rogers, "Air Bag Recalls Trigger New Scrutiny," *The Wall Street Journal* (Oct. 22, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Hiroko Tabuchi and Christopher Jensen, "It Looked Like a Stabbing, but Takata Airbag Was the Killer," *New York Times* (Oct. 20, 2014).

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 38 of 62 Pg ID 117

145. On October 22, 2014, NHTSA expanded the list of vehicles affected by the recall of defective Takata components to cover ten automakers and numerous car models including Honda which had 5,051,364 potentially affected vehicles.<sup>74</sup>

146. Over the past 13 years that Takata has known there was a problem with the safety of their airbags, there have been at least four deaths and 139 injuries linked to defective Takata airbags.

#### J. Takata Fails to Meet Safety Standards and Maintain Airbag Quality

147. As recently as 2011, supervisors at Takata's Monclova plant were reporting potentially lethal defects in the manufacturing process. Based on internal Takata documents, Takata was unable to meet its own standards for safety up until at least 2011.<sup>75</sup>

148. In March 2011, a Takata supervisor at the Moclava plant sent an email to other employees stating "A part that is not welded = one life less, which shows we are not fulfilling the mission." The title of the e-mail was "Defectos y defectos y defectos!!!!."<sup>76</sup>

149. Despite all the theories proposed by Takata to federal regulators as to the sources of the defects, according to documents reviewed by *Reuters*, Takata also cited rust, bad welds, and even chewing gum dropped into at least one inflator as reasons for the defects. The same documents show that in 2002, Takata's plant in Mexico allowed a defect rate that was "six to eight times above" acceptable limits, or roughly 60 to 80 defective parts for every 1 million airbag inflators shipped.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Ben Klayman, "U.S. regulators expand number of vehicles affected by Takata recalls," *Reuters* (Oct. 22, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Joanna Zuckerman Bernstein, Ben Klayman, and Yuko Kubota, "Exclusive: Takata engineers struggled to maintain airbag quality, documents reveal," *Reuters* (Oct. 17, 2014), *available at* http://www.reuters.com/article/2014/10/18/us-takata-airbags-idUSKCN0I701B20141018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Id.

# K. The Defective Vehicles Containing Takata-Manufactured Airbags Were Sold as "Safe" and "Reliable"

150. In advertisements and promotional materials, the Honda Defendants maintained that their vehicles are safe and reliable.

151. For example, the Honda Defendants maintained:

(a) **Honda**: "Honda is committed to providing safety for everyone—that means crash protection not only for our own drivers and passengers, but also for the occupants of other vehicles, and injury mitigation for pedestrians." "As a leader, Honda looks beyond government regulations, studying real world situations to develop new safety technologies for everyone."<sup>77</sup>

152. Purchasers of the Defective Vehicles were thus were led to believe their vehicles were safe and reliable vehicles.

153. Vehicles with defective airbag systems are not "safe" and "reliable" as the Defective Vehicles were advertised and promoted to be.

154. As detailed above, additional recalls (or expanded recalls) have been issued after NHTSA's list was published on October 22, 2014,<sup>78</sup> and it is likely that additional vehicle recalls will be announced in the future.

155. In fact, Honda just recently announced, on November 6, 2014, that it would "soon expand its U.S. recalls involving potentially explosive air bags made by Takata Corp., adding a small number of vehicles in certain hot and humid regions and expanding the scope of existing recalls,"<sup>79</sup> In addition, Honda reported that it would "also reclassify some cars that have been part of a regional 'safety improvement campaign,' making them part of a more severe regional

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> http://corporate.honda.com/safety/ (last visited Nov. 14, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> http://www.nhtsa.gov/About+NHTSA/Press+Releases/2014/Vehicle-owners-with-defective-airbags-urged-to-take-immediate-action (last visited Nov. 14, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Yoko Kubota, "Honda to Expand Takata Air-Bag Recalls in Some Areas," *The Wall Street Journal* (Nov. 6, 2014).

'safety recall.""<sup>80</sup>

156. Additionally, NHTSA has also recently urged affected vehicle owners to "check their [Vehicle Identification Number ("VIN")] periodically as manufacturers continue to add VINs to the [recall] database."<sup>81</sup>

157. Moreover, Takata recently disclosed that it has failed to keep adequate quality-control

records, making it difficult to identify vehicles with potentially defective air bags.<sup>82</sup>

# L. Federal Investigations

158. NHTSA is now investigating Takata airbags manufactured between 2000 and 2007 to

determine whether Takata airbag inflators made during that time were improperly sealed.<sup>83</sup>

159. In a Consumer Advisory dated October 22, 2014, NHTSA said:

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration urges owners of certain Toyota, Honda, Mazda, BMW, Nissan, Mitsubishi, Subaru, Chrysler, Ford and General Motors vehicles to act immediately on recall notices to replace defective Takata airbags. Over seven million vehicles are involved in these recalls, which have occurred as far back as 18 months ago and as recently as Monday. The message comes with urgency, especially for owners of vehicles affected by regional recalls in the following areas: Florida, Puerto Rico, limited areas near the Gulf of Mexico in Texas, Alabama, Mississippi, Georgia, and Louisiana, as well as Guam, Saipan, American Samoa, Virgin Islands and Hawaii.

On October 29, 2014, NHTSA's Deputy Administrator sent a letter to Takata in follow-up to NHTSA's "ongoing investigation into defective Takata air bags, and to express a number of serious concerns that must be resolved to ensure public safety." NHTSA's letter further stated: Takata has supplied tens of millions of air bag inflators to various vehicle manufacturers over the last fifteen years that, when functioning as designed, save lives and reduce or prevent serious injuries in crashes. However, as you are well aware based on months of discussions your technical experts have had with my staff, millions of Takata inflators are being recalled because, when activated, a growing number are creating an unacceptable risk of deaths and injuries by projecting metal fragments into vehicle occupants

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> See http://www.nhtsa.gov/About+NHTSA/Press+Releases/Vehicle-owners-with-defective-airbags-urged-to-take-immediate-action (last visited Nov. 14, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> See Hiroko Tabuchi and Christopher Jensen, "Now the Air Bags Are Faulty, Too," New York Times (June 23, 2014); Colum Murphy and Eric Pfanner, "Takata Faces Rocky Road After Recalls," The Wall Street Journal (Oct.

<sup>1, 2014).</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> Klayman, *supra* n.20.

rather than properly inflating the attached air bag. Further action by Takata is required to better understand the failures and further mitigate the safety risk.

Actual and potential inflator failures have led to a large number of recalls in the last eighteen months. General Motors, Ford, Chrysler, Toyota, Nissan, Honda, Subaru, Mitsubishi, BMW, and Mazda have all initiated recall campaigns to address the serious safety risks posed by inflator failures. These recalls encompass a population of millions of vehicles. I am deeply troubled by this situation because of the potential risk for death and injury as well as the erosion of public confidence in a proven life-saving technology.

On October 29, 2014, NHTSA sent a letter to ten automakers. The letter stated that "[t]he ongoing cooperation of all manufacturers who have recalled vehicles is essential to address this safety risk," and that the "NHTSA team is engaged with you in critical work to better understand the failures and take action to remedy the safety risk." NHTSA's letter also asked the automakers to provide NHTSA with information as to their recall process, urged a faster response from them, and stated that "more can and should be done as soon as possible to prevent any further tragedies."

160. On October 30, 2014, *The New York Times* reported that NHTSA "ordered the airbag supplier Takata to turn over documents and answer questions under oath related to defective airbag inflators." The order "demanded that Takata turn over records related to the production, testing and subsequent concerns raised internally and by automakers over the airbags, as well as communications between the company and automakers about defect concerns."<sup>84</sup>

161. Also on October 30, 2014, NHTSA's Office of Defects Investigation ("ODI") published an ODI Resume for Investigation No. AQ 14-004. That document stated that NHTSA had opened an investigation "in order to investigate the extent and scope of Honda's reporting failures, as well as the reason(s) for such failures and the steps being taken by Honda to assure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Aaron Kessler, "Takata, Supplier of Defective Airbags, Ordered to Submit Records," *New York Times* (Oct. 30, 2014).

full compliance with TREAD reporting requirements." The document further stated:

NHTSA has received information indicating that American Honda Motor Co. (Honda) failed to report incidents involving Takata airbags, which resulted in a death or injury, and for which claims were asserted against Honda.

The TREAD Act requires, among other things, that all manufacturers of 5,000 or more light vehicles submit to NHTSA, on a quarterly basis, Early Warning Reports (EWRs) that include information on each and every incident involving death or injury, identified in a claim against the manufacturer or a notice received by the manufacturer alleging or proving that the death or injury was caused by a possible defect. Manufacturers must submit EWRs to NHTSA no later than 60 days after the last day of each calendar quarter.

NHTSA is also concerned that Honda's reporting failures go beyond the Takata incidents described above, and NHTSA has received information from Honda indicating that Honda may have failed to meet its TREAD reporting obligations, including reporting other death or injury incidents. (Emphasis added).

162. On November 3, 2014, NHTSA issued a Special Order - separate from NHTSA's

October 30, 2014 investigation - demanding documents from Honda regarding airbags. As

reported by the Detroit Free Press: "The nation's top auto safety regulator has demanded Honda

to show by Nov. 24 what and when it knew about deaths and injuries caused by exploding air

bags made by Takata, a supplier at the center of an expanding recall."<sup>85</sup> A second article reported

on the Special Order as follows:

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration said today it wants Honda to produce even more documents and data than it asked for earlier this week related to the recall of millions of air bags.

NHTSA issued a 15-page special order Wednesday asking the Japanese automaker to produce all documents and communications it has had with air bag supplier Takata about its air bag inflators and recalls of vehicles equipped with the faulty inflators. ...

"We are compelling Honda to produce documents and answer questions under oath relevant to our ongoing investigation into defective air bags made by Takata," David Friedman, NHTSA's deputy administrator, said in a statement today.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> Greg Gardner, "NHTSA Demands Honda Documents on Air Bags," *Detroit Free Press* (Nov. 5, 2014).

"We expect Honda's full cooperation as we work to keep the American public safe."

Today's action is on top of an investigation launched earlier this week by NHTSA asking Honda to show by Nov. 24 what and when it knew about deaths and injuries caused by exploding air bags made by Takata. That investigation is focused on whether Honda reported information about accidents related to the recalls in a timely manner.<sup>86</sup>

163. The U.S. Department of Justice has reported that it is investigating whether Takata misled U.S. regulators about the number of defective airbags it sold to automakers, including Toyota and Honda.

164. In addition to a federal grand jury subpoena issued November 13, 2014, by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Takata and Honda have also had to appear and testify before the United States Senate Committee on Commerce on several occasions since November 20, 2014, to include company officials from Takata and Honda, as well as government officials from NHTSA.

# M. Defective Vehicle Owners Are Warned About Their Airbags; Remediation Is Lacking

165. On October 20, 2014, NHTSA "warned the owners of about 4.7 million vehicles with defective air bags made by the Takata Corporation that they should 'act immediately' to have them fixed."<sup>87</sup>

166. Two days later, on October 22, 2014, NHTSA published a Consumer Advisory entitled "Vehicle Owners with Defective Airbags Urged to Take Immediate Action." The Consumer Advisory stated:

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration urges owners of certain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Brent Snavely, "NHTSA Issues 2nd Order for Honda Recall Documents," *Detroit Free Press* (Nov. 5, 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Christopher Jensen, "Defect in Takata Air Bags Prompt Urgent Warning to Drivers," *New York Times* (Oct. 20, 2014).

Toyota, Honda, Mazda, BMW, Nissan, Mitsubishi, Subaru, Chrysler, Ford and General Motors vehicles to act immediately on recall notices to replace defective Takata airbags. Over seven million vehicles are involved in these recalls, which have occurred as far back as 18 months ago and as recently as Monday. The message comes with urgency, especially for owners of vehicles affected by regional recalls in the following areas: Florida, Puerto Rico, limited areas near the Gulf of Mexico in Texas, Alabama, Mississippi, Georgia, and Louisiana, as well as Guam, Saipan, American Samoa, Virgin Islands and Hawaii.

"Responding to these recalls, whether old or new, is essential to personal safety and it will help aid our ongoing investigation into Takata airbags and what appears to be a problem related to extended exposure to consistently high humidity and temperatures. However, we're leaving no stone unturned in our aggressive pursuit to track down the full geographic scope of this issue," said NHTSA Deputy Administrator David Friedman.<sup>88</sup>

167. The Consumer Advisory also urged consumers to "contact their manufacturer's website

to search, by their vehicle identification number (VIN) to confirm whether their individual

vehicle has an open recall that needs to be addressed" and to "check their VIN periodically as

manufacturers continue to add VINs to the [recall] database."89

168. In a statement from Honda regarding Airbag Inflator Regional Safety Improvement

Campaigns, dated October 22, 2014, Honda announced:

If a customer has received notification from Honda about this special campaign, Honda requests that the customer promptly contact his/her local authorized dealer and make an appointment for replacement of the covered airbag components.

169. However, Honda has acknowledged that it would not send out recall letters to car

owners or lessees until there are parts available, meaning that many drivers would not receive

notices for weeks or longer as they continue to drive vehicles with potentially deadly airbags.

170. Also, in addition to its failure to timely report its knowledge of the defects in the Takata airbags installed in the vehicles it manufactured, Honda also has more recently acknowledged its

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> http://www.nhtsa.gov/About+NHTSA/Press+Releases/Vehicle-owners-with-defective-airbags-urged-to-takeimmediate-action (last visited Nov. 14, 2014).
<sup>89</sup> Id.

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 45 of 62 Pg ID 124

failure to report over 1,700 deaths and injuries for more than a ten-year period up until 2014. As a result, Honda has now agreed, as of January 8, 2015, to pay \$70 million in civil penalties and fines to resolve federal regulators' probe into its wrongful acts and omissions and alleged lapses in early warning reporting.

171. In a press release announcing Honda's agreement to pay the penalties, NHTSA representatives emphasized that: "Honda and all the automakers have a safety responsibility and they must live up to it – no excuses . . . These fines reflect the tough stance we will take against those who violate the law and fail to do their part in the mission to keep Americans safe on the road."<sup>90</sup>

### N. Takata Enters a Guilty Plea as to Wire Fraud.

172. On January 13, 2017, Takata, by and through its Board of Directors, entered a Guilty Plea as to one count of Wire Fraud, a violation of 18 U.S.C. §1343 in relation to its participation in a scheme to defraud consumers and manufacturers.

173. As a part of this Guilty Plea, Takata has admitted the following:<sup>91</sup>

- a. TK Holdings Inc. ("TKH") was a subsidiary of Takata incorporated in the United States, which had its principal place of business in Auburn Hills, Michigan. TKH was primarily responsible for the development, testing, and production of airbag inflators that Takata sold in North America, including airbag inflators sold in the United States.
- b. During the design testing phase, inflators were tested by TKH and information and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> http://www.law360.com/articles/609568/breaking-honda-fined-70m-for-failing-to-report-deaths-to-nhtsa (last visited Jan 8, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> See, Rule 11 Plea Agreement, United States of America, v. Takata Corporation, Case No. 16-20810 (E.D. Mich. January 13, 2017).

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 46 of 62 Pg ID 125

data generated from these tests generally was compiled by TKH. This information and data typically was provided by Takata or TKH to the OEMs in a document called a Design Validation ("DV") report.

- c. At various times, additional testing was conducted by TKH during the design testing phase and production testing phase, which generated additional information and data. This testing often was conducted by TKH to address design changed or to address identified issues or problems. The information and data that was generated from these additional tests was typically memorialized in documents called "Delta" DV or PV reports. These reports generally were provided by TkH to the OEMs.
- d. At various times throughout and following these stages, additional testing was performed by TKH. In some instances, this additional testing was performed in response to specific questions and concerns raised by particular OEMs during product development and production. In those instances, the information and data gathered was generally provided by Takata or TKH to the OEMs in reports, among other forms.
- e. At all relevant times, the OEMs used the information and data that was generated from the tests performed by TKH and communicated to the OEMs in reports, among other forms. The OEMs used this data and information when making decisions about whether to purchase certain airbag systems from Takata.
- f. In order to deceive the victim OEMs[<sup>92</sup>] and induce them to purchase certain Takata

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> This is the terminology used by Takata and the government in the Rule 11 Plea Agreement, United States of America, v. Takata Corporation, Case No. 16-20810 (E.D. Mich. January 13, 2017). Plaintiffs object to this terminology as used in the Rule 11 Plea Agreement and reject any inference or classification of the OEMs, including Honda, as "victims".

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 47 of 62 Pg ID 126

airbag systems containing faulty, inferior, non-performing, non-conforming, or dangerous [Phase Stabilized Ammonium Nitrate ("PSAN")] PSAN inflators, Takata provided the OEMs with materially false, fraudulent, and misleading test information and data, typically contained in test reports, about the PSAN inflators.

- g. The false, fraudulent, and misleading test information and data (typically contained in test reports) relating to the PSAN inflators was sent by Takata to the victim OEMs in order to convince them that the PSAN inflators that they contracted to purchase from Takata were performing up to the OEMs' required specifications when, in truth and in fact, they were not.
- h. The victim OEMs would not have purchased these airbag systems from Takata as they were had the true and accurate test information and data relating to the PSAN inflators been communicated and made known to them. Moreover, had the OEMS been provided with the true and accurate test information and data, the OEMs either would have: (a) insisted that any problems with the PSAN inflators be resolved prior to installation into their vehicles; or (b) refused to put the airbag systems containing the faulty or problematic PSAN inflators into their vehicles.
- i. Had the victim OEMS known the true and accurate test information and data relating to the PSAN inflators, the faulty, inferior, non-performing, non-compliant, or dangerous PSAN inflators would not have been installed in vehicles as they were.

174. Upon information and belief, no later than 2004 and at all times relevant herein, the Honda Defendants performed independent testing on the PSAN inflators, had true and accurate test information and data relating to the PSAN inflators, knew the PSAN inflators (including the

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 48 of 62 Pg ID 127

inflator in the Subject Vehicle) were faulty, inferior, non-performing, non-compliant, and dangerous.

175. Upon information and belief, no later than 2004 and at all times relevant herein, the Honda Defendants knew the PSAN inflators (including the inflator in the Subject Vehicle) were faulty, inferior, non-performing, non-compliant, and dangerous and nevertheless continued to install PSAN inflators into Honda vehicles, including the Subject Vehicle.

176. Upon information and belief, no later than 2004 and at all times relevant herein, the Honda Defendants knew the PSAN inflators installed in Honda vehicles (including the inflator in the Subject Vehicle) were faulty, inferior, non-performing, non-compliant, and dangerous and continued to market, distribute, and sell the Subject Vehicle and its components, including the driver's side frontal airbag system as crashworthy, non-defective, not unreasonably dangerous, and safe for foreseeable users and occupants because the driver's side frontal airbag system would provide the degree of occupant protection and safety a reasonable consumer would expect in foreseeable accidents occurring in the real world environment of its expected use.

#### **CONDITIONS PRECEDENT**

177. All conditions precedent to the bringing of this action and Plaintiff's right to the relief sought herein have occurred, have been performed or have been excused.

#### **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

# <u>FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Negligence, Gross Negligence, Willful and Wanton Conduct: Design Defect As to All Defendants)

178. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges each prior paragraph, where relevant, as if set forth fully herein.

179. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 49 of 62 Pg ID 128

R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. designed, selected, inspected, tested, assembled, equipped, marketed, distributed, and sold the Subject Vehicle and its components, including but not limited to, equipping it with its driver's frontal airbag system.

180. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. designed the Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system and each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care to design, select, inspect, test, assemble, equip, market, distribute, and sell the Subject Vehicle and its components, including the driver's frontal airbag system, so that it would provide a reasonable degree of occupant protection and safety during foreseeable collisions occurring in the real world highway environment of its expected use.

181. At all times relevant herein, as designed, selected, inspected, tested, assembled, equipped, marketed, distributed, and sold by Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg., the Subject Vehicle is and was uncrashworthy, defective, unreasonably dangerous, and unsafe for foreseeable users and occupants because its driver's frontal airbag system is and was inadequately designed and constructed, and failed to provide the degree of occupant protection, and safety a reasonable consumer would expect in foreseeable accidents occurring in the real world environment of its expected use.

182. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. each were collectively and respectively negligent, grossly negligent, willful, wanton, reckless and careless in the design of the Subject Vehicle and breached their duties of care owed to Plaintiff by:

a. failing to adopt and implement adequate safety hierarchy procedures and policies;

b. failing to design, manufacture, test, assemble and/or install the driver's airbag

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 50 of 62 Pg ID 129

system so as to prevent it from having excessively energetic propellant, deploying with excessive force, and/or from expelling shrapnel in foreseeable collisions to kill or injure drivers or passengers upon air bag deployment during the same;

- c. failing to design, test, assemble and/or install the driver's airbag system so that it was properly vented and would adequately deflate under foreseeable impacts;
- d. failing to ensure that the Subject Vehicle was reasonably crashworthy;
- e. failing to exercise reasonable care in the design of the Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system;
- f. failing to exercise reasonable care in the testing of the Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system;
- g. failing to exercise reasonable care in the inspection of the Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system;
- h. failing to adopt and implement adequate warnings regarding Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system;
- failing to incorporate appropriate quality assurance procedures in design of the of the Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system;
- j. and on such other and further particulars as the evidence may show.

183. At all times relevant, as a direct and proximate result of Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg.'s negligence and the breaches complained of herein, Plaintiff's Decedent suffered serious and permanent injuries including scarring, excruciating pain and suffering, mental anguish, emotional distress, and other injuries, as a result of the Incident on April 16, 2016, which ultimately led to her untimely and wrongful death. 184. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages for all of the pre-

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 51 of 62 Pg ID 130

death general and special damages suffered by Dr. Nida as a result of the Incident on April 16, 2016, proximately caused by Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg.'s negligent and grossly negligent acts and/or omissions.

185. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants, Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg., jointly and severally, for all actual and compensatory damages Plaintiff's Decedent suffered, as well as for punitive damages in an amount sufficient to keep such wrongful conduct from being repeated, together with interest, if applicable, for all costs of this action, and for any other such further relief as this Honorable Court and/or jury may deem just and proper.

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Negligence, Gross Negligence, Willful and Wanton Conduct: Manufacturing Defect As to All Defendants)

186. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges each prior paragraph, where relevant, as if set forth fully herein.

187. At all times relevant herein, all Defendants, Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg., took part in and/or were responsible for the manufacture, selection, inspection, testing, design, assemblage, equipment, marketing, distribution, and/or sale of the Subject Vehicle and its component parts, including but not limited to its defective frontal airbag system, to Plaintiff at some point prior to the Incident on April 16, 2016.

188. Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. manufactured the Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system and each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care to manufacture, select, inspect, test, assemble, equip, market, distribute, and sell the Subject Vehicle and its components, including

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 52 of 62 Pg ID 131

the driver's frontal airbag system, so that it would provide a reasonable degree of occupant protection and safety during foreseeable collisions occurring in the real world highway environment of its expected use.

189. At all times relevant herein, as manufactured, selected, inspected, tested, assembled, equipped, marketed, distributed, and sold by Defendants, Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg., the Subject Vehicle is and was uncrashworthy, defective, unreasonably dangerous, and unsafe for foreseeable users and occupants because its driver's frontal airbag system is inadequately designed and constructed, and failed to provide the degree of occupant protection, and safety a reasonable consumer would expect in foreseeable accidents occurring in the real world environment of its expected use.

190. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. each were collectively and respectively negligent, grossly negligent, willful, wanton, reckless and careless and breached their duties of care owed to Plaintiff by:

- a. failing to adopt and implement adequate safety hierarchy procedures and policies;
- b. failing to manufacture, test, assemble and/or install the driver's airbag system so as to prevent it from having excessively energetic propellant, deploying with excessive force, and/or from expelling shrapnel in foreseeable collisions to kill or injure drivers or passengers upon air bag deployment during the same;
- c. failing to manufacture, test, assemble and/or install the driver's airbag system so that it was properly vented and would adequately deflate under foreseeable impacts;
- d. failing to ensure that the Subject Vehicle was reasonably crashworthy;

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 53 of 62 Pg ID 132

- e. failing to exercise reasonable care in the manufacture of the Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system;
- f. failing to exercise reasonable care in the testing of the Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system;
- g. failing to exercise reasonable care in the inspection of the Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system;
- h. failing to adopt and implement adequate warnings regarding Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system;
- failing to incorporate appropriate quality assurance procedures in manufacture of the of the Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system;

191. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg.'s, negligence and the breaches complained of herein, Plaintiff's Decedent suffered serious and permanent injuries including scarring, excruciating pain and suffering, mental anguish, emotional distress, and other injuries, as a result of the Incident on April 16, 2016, which ultimately led to her untimely and wrongful death.

192. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to recover for all general and special damages Plaintiff's Decedent sustained as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligent and grossly negligent acts or omissions.

193. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg., jointly and severally, for all actual and compensatory damages Plaintiff's Decedent suffered, as well as for punitive damages in an amount sufficient to keep such wrongful conduct from being repeated, together with interest, if applicable, for all costs of this action, and for any other such further relief as this Honorable Court and/or jury may deem just and proper.

# <u>THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Strict Liability In Tort As to All Defendants)

194. Plaintiff adopts and re-allege each prior paragraph, where relevant, as if set forth fully herein.

195. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. are strictly liable for designing, testing, manufacturing, distributing, selling, and/or placing a defective and unreasonably dangerous product into the stream of commerce.

196. At all times relevant herein, the Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system was defective and unreasonably dangerous as to its design, manufacture, distribution and warnings, causing the Vehicle to be in a defective condition that made it unreasonably dangerous for its intended use.

197. At all times relevant herein, all Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg., took some part in the manufacture and sale of the subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system prior to the Incident on April 16, 2016.

198. At all times relevant, the Subject Vehicle was being used in an intended and/or foreseeable manner when the Incident alleged herein occurred. Plaintiff neither misused nor materially altered the Subject Vehicle, and upon information and belief, the Subject Vehicle was in the same or substantially similar condition that it was in at the time of purchase.

199. At all times relevant herein, the Subject Vehicle is and was unreasonably dangerous and defective because it was designed, manufactured and sold with an excessively energetic inflator in the driver's frontal airbag system which deployed with dangerously excessive explosive force, exploded and expelled sharp shrapnel during air bag deployment in foreseeable collisions,

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 55 of 62 Pg ID 134

including during the Incident.

200. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. were aware of feasible alternative designs which would have minimized or eliminated altogether the risk of injury posed by the Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system.

201. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. had a duty to warn users of the dangers associated with by the Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system.

202. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. failed to warn of the inherent and latent defects that made this product dangerous and unsafe for its intended use.

203. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. failed to design, test, manufacture, inspect, and/or sell a product that was safe for its intended use.

204. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg.'s, negligence and the breaches complained herein, Plaintiff's Decedent suffered serious and permanent injuries including scarring, excruciating pain and suffering, mental anguish, and emotional distress, from her accident on April 16, 2016 that ultimately resulted in her death.

205. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg., jointly and severally, for all actual and compensatory damages the Plaintiff's Decedent suffered, as well as for punitive damages in an amount sufficient to keep such wrongful conduct from being repeated, together

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 56 of 62 Pg ID 135

with interest, if applicable, for all costs of this action, and for any other such further relief as this Honorable Court and/or jury may deem just and proper.

## <u>FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Failure to Warn As To All Defendants)

206. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges each prior paragraph, where relevant, as if set forth fully herein.

207. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg., as manufacturers of Subject Vehicle and its driver's frontal airbag system, owed duties to warn of foreseeable dangerous conditions of the Subject Vehicle which would impair its safety.

208. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. knew or should have known that the Subject Vehicle's driver's frontal airbag system had an excessively energetic inflator and would deploy with excessive explosive force in foreseeable collisions, as well as expel shrapnel that could injure or kill occupants.

209. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. would have had and had no reason to believe that users would realize this potential danger.

210. At all times relevant herein, Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. affirmatively failed to exercise reasonable care to inform users of the Subject Vehicle's dangerous condition created by the excessively energetic inflator in the driver's frontal airbag system or explosive nature of the inflator that could explode with violent force.

211. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor,

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 57 of 62 Pg ID 136

Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg.'s failure to warn of the dangers posed by the shrapnel and excessively energetic inflator in the driver's frontal airbag system in the Subject Vehicle and the breaches complained herein, the Plaintiff's Decedent suffered injuries including excruciating pain and suffering, mental anguish, and emotional distress, from her accident on April 16, 2016 that ultimately resulted in her death.

212. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to recover for all general and special damages the Plaintiff's Decedent sustained as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligent and grossly negligent acts or omissions.

213. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg., jointly and severally, for all actual and compensatory damages the Plaintiff's Decedent suffered, as well as for punitive damages in an amount sufficient to keep such wrongful conduct from being repeated, together with interest, if applicable, for all costs of this action, and for any other such further relief as this Honorable Court and/or jury may deem just and proper.

# <u>FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Wrongful Death of Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis As to All Defendants)

214. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges each prior paragraph, where relevant, as if set forth fully herein.

215. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff's Decedent Dr. Nida Fatin Mat Asis, died on the side of the road between Keningau and Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia on the 16th day of April 2016, and pursuant to Malaysian law, Plaintiff Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti has been appointed the rightful Personal Representative and Heir of her Estate.

216. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti has been

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 58 of 62 Pg ID 137

appointed the rightful Personal Representative and Heir of Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis's estate by the Oakland County Court in Michigan.

217. Accordingly, at all times relevant herein, in his capacity as an Authorized Representative of the Estate, Plaintiff Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti brings this action for the benefit of the statutory beneficiaries of Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis, naming himself as the appropriate party and personal representative of the Estate to recover for damages, injuries, and losses sustained by Plaintiff's Decedent on April 16, 2016 that ultimately led to her death.

218. At all times relevant herein, the aforementioned actions of the Defendants as alleged previously herein caused the earlier demise and death of Plaintiff's Decedent on April 16, 2016. The death of Plaintiff's Decedent was caused and occasioned by the negligent and grossly negligent acts on behalf of the Defendants as set forth above.

219. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff's Decedent's statutory beneficiaries have been deprived of all benefits of her society and companionship and have been caused great mental shock and suffering by reason of her untimely death.

220. Dr. Shamshir and his minor son have been and will forever be caused grief and sorrow by the loss of Dr. Nida and/or her love, society and companionship. They have been deprived of her future experience and judgment. They have incurred expenses for her funeral and final expenses and, as a result of the foregoing, they have sustained personal injuries including, but not limited to:

- a. pecuniary loss, loss of economic support for family;
- b. mental shock and suffering;
- c. wounded feelings;
- d. grief and sorrow;

## 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 59 of 62 Pg ID 138

- e. loss of companionship;
- f. deprivation of the use and comfort of the intestate's society;
- g. loss of her experience, knowledge and judgment;
- h. loss of income of the Decedent;
- i. funeral expenses; and
- j. and on such other and further particulars as the evidence may show.

221. Plaintiff, accordingly, as the duly acting, appointed and qualified personal representative of the estate of Plaintiff's Decedent Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis, is entitled to recover compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

222. Plaintiff also, as a further result of Defendants' reckless, willful, negligent and grossly negligent conduct, is entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the jury in accordance with the law and evidence in this case.

223. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment against the Defendants for actual, consequential, and punitive damages, together with costs of this action, and for such other and further relief as this Court may deem fit, just, and proper.

# <u>SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Survivorship of Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis As to All Defendants)

224. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges each prior paragraph, where relevant, as if set forth fully herein.

225. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff's Decedent Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis, died on the side of the road between Keningau and Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia on the 16th day of April 2016, and pursuant to Malaysian law, Plaintiff Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti has been appointed the rightful Personal Representative and Heir of her Estate.

#### 4:17-cv-11393-LVP-APP Doc # 5 Filed 05/08/17 Pg 60 of 62 Pg ID 139

226. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti has been appointed the rightful Personal Representative and Heir of Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis's estate by the Oakland County Court in Michigan.

227. Accordingly, at all times relevant herein, in his capacity as an Authorized Representative of the Estate, Plaintiff Dr. Abdullah Shamshir Bin Abd Mokti brings this action also to recover for the compensatory damages sustained by Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis from the time of her injuries up until the time of her death on April 16, 2016, including but not limited to pain, suffering, mental anguish, and anticipation of death.

228. As a direct and proximate result of the defective and unreasonably dangerous condition of the Vehicle, the breach of implied warranties, and the fraudulent, negligent, grossly negligent, and willful and wanton conduct of the Defendants on and/or leading up to the date of the Incident on April 16, 2016, Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis was severely and painfully injured, suffered fractures, lacerations, substantial bodily injury and conscious and continuous, severe physical and mental pain from the time of her injury on April 16, 2016 up until and prior to her death.

229. Plaintiff, as the duly acting, appointed and qualified Administrator of the Estate of Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis, accordingly is entitled to recover compensatory damages for the survival claim of Dr. Nida Fatin Binti Mat Asis in an amount to be proven at trial.

230. Plaintiff also, as a further result of Defendants' reckless, willful, negligent and grossly negligent conduct, is entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the jury in accordance with the law and evidence in this case.

231. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment against the Defendants for actual, consequential, and punitive damages, together with costs of this action, and for such other and further relief as this Court may deem fit, just, and proper.

## SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Damages As to All Defendants)

232. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges each prior paragraph, where relevant, as if set forth fully herein.

233. Because of Plaintiff's bodily injuries proximately caused by Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable and proper compensation for the following legal damages:

- a. Past and future medical expenses and charges;
- b. Past and future physical pain and mental anguish;
- c. Past and future physical impairment;
- d. Past and future disfigurement; and
- e. Past lost wages and future lost wage-earning capacity.

234. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks actual and punitive damages to be awarded by the jury in an amount in excess of the minimal juridical limits of this Court.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

# WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays as follows:

- a. For a trial by jury and judgment against Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg. for such sums as actual and other compensatory damages, including but not limited to pain and suffering, permanent impairment, past and future medical expenses, past and future loss of function, past and future loss of earnings and enjoyment of life, and future prospective medical care costs in an amount as a jury may determine and in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limit of this Honorable Court;
- b. For exemplary and punitive damages against Defendants Takata, TK Holdings, Honda Motor, Honda R&D, American Honda, and Honda Mfg.in an amount as a

jury may determine to halt such conduct;

- c. For the costs of this suit, including attorney's fees; and
- d. For such other and further relief to which they may be entitled and as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper.

# **REQUEST FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury as to all issues triable by jury, as enumerated and set forth in more detail in this Complaint.

Dated: May 8, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

MCNEELY LAW OFFICE

By: /s/ Edward J. McNeely III Edward J. McNeely III (P48818) 338 Morris Ave, SE Grand Rapids, MI, 49503 Phone: (616) 233-2501 Fax: (616) 233-2504 ejm@mc-law.com

> Kevin R. Dean, Esq. (Fed. I.D. 8046)<sup>93</sup> MOTLEY RICE LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mount Pleasant, SC 29464 Phone: (843) 216-9000 Fax: (843) 216-9450 kdean@motleyrice.com

# ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> Plaintiffs' Counsel Kevin R. Dean has initiated the application process for Admission to the Bar for the Eastern District of Michigan.