

Securities Class Action Services

The SCAS 50 for 2011

Securities Class Action Services is pleased to present the "SCAS 50" for the year 2011. The SCAS 50 lists the top 50 plaintiffs' law firms ranked by the total cash amount of final securities class action settlements occurring in 2011 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel.

Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$ 1,377,250,000.00	13	\$ 105,942,307.69
2	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$ 1,144,250,000.00	28	\$ 40,866,071.43
3	Labaton Sucharow	\$ 1,035,900,000.00	16	\$ 64,743,750.00
4	Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check	\$ 851,700,000.00	9	\$ 94,633,333.33
5	Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro	\$ 435,000,000.00	5	\$ 87,000,000.00
6	Grant & Eisenhofer	\$ 304,650,000.00	7	\$ 43,521,428.57
7	Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll	\$ 190,550,000.00	8	\$ 23,818,750.00
8	Lovell Stewart Halebian Jacobson	\$ 118,750,000.00	1	\$ 118,750,000.00
9	Milberg	\$ 113,375,000.00	3	\$ 37,791,666.67
10	Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz	\$ 103,400,000.00	2	\$ 51,700,000.00
11	Entwistle & Cappucci	\$ 101,900,000.00	2	\$ 50,950,000.00
12	Bernstein Liebhard	\$ 100,000,000.00	1	\$ 100,000,000.00
13	Barrack, Rodos & Bacine	\$ 95,500,000.00	3	\$ 31,833,333.33
14	Scott & Scott	\$ 81,920,000.00	4	\$ 20,480,000.00
15	Girard Gibbs	\$ 81,520,000.00	2	\$ 40,760,000.00
15	Zwerling Schachter & Zwerling	\$ 81,520,000.00	2	\$ 40,760,000.00
17	Cotchett Pitre & McCarthy	\$ 80,000,000.00	1	\$ 80,000,000.00
18	Allen Matkins	\$ 52,500,000.00	1	\$ 52,500,000.00
18	Esler, Stephens & Buckley	\$ 52,500,000.00	1	\$ 52,500,000.00
20	Berger & Montague	\$ 50,191,666.70	5	\$ 10,038,333.34
21	Glancy Binkow & Goldberg	\$ 47,565,000.00	4	\$ 11,891,250.00
22	Slater & Gordon	\$ 46,538,020.18	2	\$ 23,269,010.09
23	Maurice Blackburn Pty Limited	\$ 41,999,100.00	1	\$ 41,999,100.00
24	Abbey Spanier Rodd & Abrams	\$ 41,725,000.00	2	\$ 20,862,500.00
25	Saxena White	\$ 36,000,000.00	2	\$ 18,000,000.00
26	Bull & Lifshitz	\$ 35,000,000.00	1	\$ 35,000,000.00
27	Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer	\$ 33,000,000.00	1	\$ 33,000,000.00
28	Stull Stull & Brody	\$ 31,500,000.00	2	\$ 15,750,000.00
29	Siskinds	\$ 31,168,208.09	3	\$ 10,389,402.70
30	Blitz Bardgett & Deutsch	\$ 26,000,000.00	1	\$ 26,000,000.00
31	Izard Nobel	\$ 25,416,666.70	2	\$ 12,708,333.35
32	Berman DeValerio	\$ 24,000,000.00	1	\$ 24,000,000.00
32	Pomerantz Haudek Grossman & Gross	\$ 24,000,000.00	1	\$ 24,000,000.00



An MSCI Brand

34	Diaz Reus & Targ	\$ 22,500,000.00	1	\$ 22,500,000.00
35	Baron & Budd	\$ 20,000,000.00	1	\$ 20,000,000.00
35	Carney Williams Bates Bozeman & Pulliam	\$ 20,000,000.00	1	\$ 20,000,000.00
37	Brower Piven	\$ 18,262,500.00	5	\$ 3,652,500.00
38	Kirby McInerney	\$ 17,575,000.00	3	\$ 5,858,333.33
39	Ice Miller	\$ 17,500,000.00	1	\$ 17,500,000.00
40	Wolf Popper	\$ 17,437,368.00	2	\$ 8,718,684.00
41	Chimicles & Tikellis	\$ 16,000,000.00	1	\$ 16,000,000.00
42	Abraham, Fruchter & Twersky	\$ 13,000,000.00	1	\$ 13,000,000.00
43	Gardy & Notis	\$ 10,000,000.00	1	\$ 10,000,000.00
44	Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP	\$ 6,750,000.00	1	\$ 6,750,000.00
45	Motley Rice	\$ 6,500,000.00	2	\$ 3,250,000.00
46	Kahn Swick & Foti	\$ 4,712,500.00	4	\$ 1,178,125.00
47	Murray Frank	\$ 6,200,000.00	1	\$ 6,200,000.00
48	Weiss & Lurie	\$ 5,500,000.00	1	\$ 5,500,000.00
49	Holzer Holzer Fistel	\$ 5,200,000.00	1	\$ 5,200,000.00
49	Jensen Shawa Solomon Duguid Hawkes	\$ 5,200,000.00	1	\$ 5,200,000.00
49	Sutts Strosberg	\$ 5,200,000.00	1	\$ 5,200,000.00

Firms by Number of Settlements

Rank	SCAS 50 Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	2	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$ 1,144,250,000	28	\$ 40,866,071.43
2	3	Labaton Sucharow	\$ 1,035,900,000	16	\$ 64,743,750.00
3	1	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$ 1,377,250,000	13	\$ 105,942,307.69
4	4	Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check	\$ 851,700,000	9	\$ 94,633,333.33
5	7	Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll	\$ 190,550,000	8	\$ 23,818,750.00

Firms by Settlement Average

Rank	SCAS 50 Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	1	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$ 1,377,250,000	13	\$ 105,942,307.69
2	4	Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check	\$ 851,700,000	9	\$ 94,633,333.33
3	5	Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro	\$ 435,000,000	5	\$ 87,000,000
4	3	Labaton Sucharow	\$ 1,035,900,000.00	16	\$ 64,743,750.00
5	6	Grant & Eisenhofer	\$ 304,650,000.00	7	\$ 43,521,428.57

*Firms had to have a minimum of 3 settlements.

Methodology

We created the SCAS 50 using data from the SCAS database, which tracks, among many other things, federal and state shareholder class actions. We also contacted each law firm to seek confirmation of the settlement data pertaining to that firm. The SCAS 50 does not include data on ERISA or derivative lawsuits.

The SCAS 50 reflects only those final settlements that resulted in the creation of a cash settlement fund on behalf of shareholders. Cases which resulted in no settlement fund being created, but instead had only non-monetary settlement terms (such as corporate governance changes, changes in the terms of a merger, etc.) are not included. Further information on such settlements can be found in the SCAS database.

The SCAS 50 credits law firms that served as lead or co-lead counsel in a case with the entire settlement fund, regardless of how many other firms served as lead or co-lead counsel in the case. Thus, for a settlement of \$1,000,000 dollars where there were two lead counsel, the SCAS 50 credits both law firms with a \$1,000,000 settlement rather than dividing the settlement fund in half. For purposes of this report, law firms are considered to be lead or co-lead counsel if they are identified as such in the notice of settlement distributed to shareholders.

Terminology

SETTLEMENT TOTAL is the total cash amount made available to investors for recovery for all final settlements occurring in 2011 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

of SETTLEMENTS is the total number of final settlements occurring in 2011 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

AVERAGE is the SETTLEMENT TOTAL divided by the # of SETTLEMENTS.

For further information, please contact Luke Green Vice President of Securities Class Action Services via email lucas.green@issgovernance.com

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of ISS, or in some cases third-party suppliers. The Information may not be reproduced or disseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission of ISS.

The Information has not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), or a promotion or recommendation of, any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy, and ISS does not endorse, approve or otherwise express any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies.

Any issuer that is mentioned in this document may be a client of ISS, MSCI Inc., or a subsidiary of MSCI Inc., or may be the parent of, or affiliated with, a client of ISS, MSCI Inc., or another MSCI Inc. subsidiary.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information. ISS MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by law, in no event shall ISS have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits) or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited.