

AMENDED Exhibit 1137

PLAINTIFFS' OMNIBUS OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Case No.: 4:22-md-03047-YGR

MDL No. 3047

In Re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability Litigation

Produced in Native

First step: Get a teen responsibility working group together

EMCO CoreX Identity TnS UX Marketing pDev GAPP Content

[REDACTED]	Erin Turner	Tom Saffel	Adi Jain	[REDACTED]	Tanaya Kasavana	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]						[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	Sharon Stovezky	Google Health				¹ Legal
[REDACTED]	Raj Iyengar	[REDACTED]			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Key takeaways:

- Teen responsibility is a YT/Google wide problem
- Thus the first step was to build the coalition across YT
- This team has spent several hours together coming up with the longer term solution (a sub-group of folks here are also working on the 2023 'drumbeat' launches/announcements but that is not in scope for this doc - it will be in scope for pulse and we'll come separately with these details)

Should we require 18+ verification or inference to access MA+?

Teens must be protected,
proportionate to the risks

C(2)ext: Declared teens do not get access to MA+ content, they are asked to age verify (see screenshot). This happened in Sept 2021, when we launched AADC protections globally for declared teens. But this is likely a minority of of the actual teens on the platform (which is closer to 15% of DAV vs the 4% who declare).

It appears that our peers do infer ages for some protections...should we?

Options

- 1) Leave as is, no change
- 2) (recommended) [REDACTED]
- 3) [REDACTED] AND disable p13n ads and set safer user defaults

Confidential & Proprietary



Confidential & Attorney Client
Privileged

Age-gate MA+ content in the US (for unverified users which has teens and some adults)