

FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT 27

From: [REDACTED] [/O=THEFACEBOOK/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=[REDACTED]]

Sent: 4/26/2023 10:25:01 AM

To: [REDACTED]@meta.com]; [REDACTED]@meta.com]; H[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@meta.com]; [REDACTED]@meta.com]; [REDACTED]@meta.com];
[REDACTED]@meta.com]; [REDACTED]@meta.com]; [REDACTED]@meta.com];
[REDACTED]@meta.com]; [REDACTED]@meta.com]; [REDACTED]

Subject: Message summary [{"otherUserFbId":null,"threadFbId":6349843878393283}]

Attachments: 340185351_200349386093885_8323848726011796063_n.png

(4/26/2023 01:09:14 PDT):
>You can get this data by joining to our core integrity tables.

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:10:49 PDT):
>Is it a simple query?

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:11:27 PDT):
>Let me ask first: are you talking about content cybertips?

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:11:32 PDT):
>or about manuals?

(4/26/2023 01:13:12 PDT):
>Any cybertip at all that was sent out as a result of an initial user report to us

(4/26/2023 01:18:29 PDT):
>Hey [REDACTED] Sorry on the delayed response. I'm not aware of these numbers and if they existed they would probably need to be pulled apart a bit and then go through a stat review to be mentioned externally

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:19:30 PDT):
>It is not obvious on WhatsApp reports but not the other apps.

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:20:29 PDT):
>we have asked that we add the same language that goes on in the WA reports ti the FB and IG reports.
It's on a list of updates that we have asked for

(4/26/2023 01:21:12 PDT):
>It's for us to be aware of this one, because it'll come up as an issue, and possibly later down the line too. We'll be referring to the approved stat only in today's meeting (75% increase YoY)

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:21:35 PDT):
>> then go through a stat review ti be mentioned externally
>
>This

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:21:49 PDT):
>If we don't already have this approved, we can't talk about it with the HO

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:22:12 PDT):
>But I would check with Antigone's chain, because the proactive rate seems like it might be something already approved.

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:22:23 PDT):
>Guys- in my query above 'for our own understanding'

(4/26/2023 01:22:24 PDT):
>The 75% is user reports in general ?

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:23:24 PDT):
>Let me ask if [REDACTED] has a query

(4/26/2023 01:25:57 PDT):
>75% increases in user reports year on year (latest stat)

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:29:21 PDT):
>Sorry one more question, is that FB, IG and WA ? Not sure if those numbers would reflect the spoofing issue we had on WA. Spoofed user reports that ended up going to NCMEC and the Uk was one of many pretty upset about

(4/26/2023 01:34:32 PDT):

>FB and IG

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:34:32 PDT):
>would love to know more about this though

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:40:09 PDT):
>I'm in EMEA this week so happy to jump on a call

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:41:32 PDT):
>Where?

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 01:42:53 PDT):
>Malta. I just spoke on a panel at the INHOPE hotline meeting. Spoke to the global hotlines on the Metaverse with NCMEC.

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:06:28 PDT):
>But if broad numbers are all you need, the last time I did this the number was well above 90% were not user reported. Like probably closer to 95%

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:06:51 PDT):
>So "very few cybertips come from user reports" if that's all you need for your internal narrative

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:07:07 PDT):
>For all CSE grouped together we publish the proactive/reactive split as part of CSER

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:07:34 PDT):
>Not quite a 1:1 mapping to cybertips but pretty close in practice

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:07:40 PDT):
>Yes, but that includes CSx and other non-CSAM stuff. It is "directionally correct" if you want the handwavey number

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:08:08 PDT):
>I'm assuming you don't need precise numbers here, so tell me if a very tight number is needed.

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:09:49 PDT):
>[REDACTED] and I were offlining. Based on what we currently put in cybertips, they won't be able to work it out accurately with what they have today. I like to predict what we might be ambushed with. If we give them the approved 75% stat, I want to know if they'll be able to wave another figure at us that they figured out from their own dataset. I'm reassured from [REDACTED] that this is unlikely. But the broad % there is good to be aware of. Thank you.

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:11:24 PDT):
>So both are correct.

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:12:02 PDT):
>Sure

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:12:29 PDT):
>1. The vast majority of cybertips are not from user reports. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]:
>2. We have greatly improved the number of user reports we now get through upselling and other tactics. It's moving in the right direction. But this will never replace our proactive scans. Not ever.

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:13:37 PDT):
>Yes, got all that. Thx.

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:17:35 PDT):

shared: 340185351_200349386093885_8323848726011796063_n.png

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:17:38 PDT):
>(from [REDACTED])

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 02:20:46 PDT):
>So virtually all CSAM cybertips from [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (4/26/2023 03:25:01 PDT):
>helpful to know- thank you for this