

FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT 59

Message

From: Nick Clegg [/O=THEFACEBOOK/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=[REDACTED]]
Sent: 1/29/2019 11:06:19 PM
To: Tim Colbourne [REDACTED]@fb.com]
Subject: Fwd: quick follow up re: Instagram issues in UK

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Nick Clegg
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 3:01:29 PM
To: Javier Olivan; Guy Rosen
Cc: Naomi Gleit; Tim Colbourne
Subject: Re: quick follow up re: Instagram issues in UK

Thx - hugely grateful for your focus on this and for Guy's lead and explanation.

A few points:

- there is an issue about whether we should change our policy distinction between "cry for help" images (which we allow) and those which glorify/promote self harm or suicide (which we don't). That work is underway in consultation with experts etc.

- our key vulnerability is that there appears to be material on IG which clearly contravenes the latter category, ie we appear to be unwilling or unable to implement our own, existing policy. If true, that is indefensible in an area of such great sensitivity.

The families involved - and Govt Ministers - are now waiting to see what we will do to tighten things up ASAP.

I know you know this, but of our multiple reputational challenges this one is prob about as bad as it gets - there is no one, no where who will make any allowances for us when it comes to teen self harm/suicide.

N

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Javier Olivan <[REDACTED]@fb.com>

Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 11:38 AM

To: Nick Clegg; Guy Rosen

Cc: Naomi Gleit

Subject: quick follow up re: Instagram issues in UK

Hey Nick,

Per our brief conversation on messenger I checked with Guy/Naomi on whether FB and IG were at parity in terms of enforcement / if not why? Below is some good context for you (straight from Guy – who is copied here). One key thing to understand is that we are at risk in a few areas because unfortunately we had to do some level of ‘triage’ on what areas to tackle first given constraints in our ability to hire resources to tackle more problems quicker. Unfortunately this was one of the known areas at risk, but the others we had to tackle first were even worse... We do these hard prioritizations together with your teams. @Guy – let’s make sure Nick is aware of the specific trade offs we are making / get his input next cycle.

Javi

Hey, sorry - on flights in Europe right now.

Yes IG is behind. They have some of the simple things centrally - reporting works, reviewing works, we offer resources to people, etc. In maturity framework in integrity review, we actually put both FB and IG at "0-risk" maturity because there's more to cover on both.

We are fully staffed now (full time pm recently started) and planned to make progress this half, operating centrally with the CI problem team working across (after we proved this collaboration model nicely last half with drugs, cei, terrorism).

Some of the concerns are also around policies - e.g., as you remember we often leave content up because it's important for the people in distress. But it can also then be consumed by others which may lead to issues (this is the specific point in the articles, youth going down a rabbit hole of bad content). This is being revisited - e.g., maybe leave up with a warning screen. It was in the books to do but being accelerated. This is slightly worse on Instagram not just because of the audience, but because of hashtags which make discovery easier. We have solutions to that, which are being wired up as we speak.