

Message

From: Antigone Davis [/O=THEFACEBOOK/OU=EXTERNAL (FYDIBOHF25SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=████████████████████]
Sent: 10/1/2020 5:27:23 PM
To: Antigone Davis [██████████@fb.com]; Chris ██████████ (CI) [██████████@fb.com]
Subject: Message summary [{"otherUserFbId":100028413038289,"threadFbId":null}]

Antigone Deborah Davis (10/01/2020 07:13:18 PDT):
>Hey did you ask for more child safety specific HC? If you have 5 minutes for a call, I can provide some context for the question.

Christopher ██████████ (10/01/2020 07:22:13 PDT):
>yep i can drop out now

Christopher ██████████ (10/01/2020 07:22:45 PDT):
>will call you

Christopher ██████████ (10/01/2020 07:23:24 PDT):
>free for the next 7 mins

Antigone Deborah Davis (10/01/2020 09:24:43 PDT):
>Here is the draft deck I have put together for Nick. Would love your feedback. This is really a first conversation on his part.

Antigone Deborah Davis (10/01/2020 09:24:53 PDT):
><https://drive.google.com/file/d/17GNuEUgjEaIUUjclrRTuiqDgFa-b1U8s/view?usp=sharing>

Christopher ██████████ (10/01/2020 09:53:36 PDT):
>would you benefit from specific examples of where we fall short?
>
>eg:
>- we do nothing on complex objects (notably clearly violating groups & pages)
>- we can't detect 'new' CEI
>- we're scrabbling to be ready for encryption
>- our IIC numbers (notably on IG) are through the roof
>- we don't have comprehensive logging or measurement, we need both
>- anything beyond *sexual* abuse is effectively being ignored
>- we don't have bandwidth to properly support new products (i worry about Reels & RTC in particular)
>- we have big surface gaps, reporting gaps, detection gaps, and review capacity gaps

Christopher ██████████ (10/01/2020 09:54:09 PDT):
>(that's not me complaining, just some top-of-mind worries)

Christopher ██████████ (10/01/2020 09:55:49 PDT):
>the slide on headcount vs health & privacy is really strong

Antigone Deborah Davis (10/01/2020 09:56:26 PDT):
>Sure, some of this in the original quip doc we put together <https://fb.quip.com/QRndAZryZuwc>, would be great for you to add your two cents there. Or I can just pull from the work chat and add it and save you the time.

Antigone Deborah Davis (10/01/2020 09:56:45 PDT):
>It is quite astonishing.

Antigone Deborah Davis (10/01/2020 09:58:02 PDT):
>Heard the CEI solicitation classifier made it through LAMA.

Antigone Deborah Davis (10/01/2020 09:58:07 PDT):
>Wahoo!

Christopher ██████████ (10/01/2020 10:00:14 PDT):
>we got 2 things through:
>
>1) blacklist for 'obvious' terms (like 'kid porn' etc)
>2) classifier for auto-enforcing at high precision on a wider pool of terms (most will still be fairly 'obvious')

Christopher ██████████ (10/01/2020 10:00:39 PDT):
>(it's actually a different classifier to the CEIS one - a specific keyword classifier)

Antigone Deborah Davis (10/01/2020 10:27:23 PDT):
>Ahhh

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (COMPETITOR)
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY)

META3047MDL-014-00206916
METANMAG-002-00437401
PLTF EX-00611_0001



